RESEARCH ARTICLE Agricultural Reviews, Volume 46 Issue 4: 686-689 (August 2025)

Impact of Cluster Frontline Demonstration on Adoption of Improved Practices of Mustard Crop

Vikash Hooda[1], Pooja Jangra[1]

10.18805/ag.R-2694

ABSTRACT

Background: The level of acceptance of advanced agricultural technology in the innovation diffusion process, is the most important element for encouraging a gain in agricultural production.

Methods: The goal of the current study was to compare the yield disparities between the farmers' practices and the improved package of practices of rapeseed mustard under cluster front line demonstrations (CFLD) on mustard crop by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hisar during the year 2018-2019. These 100 demonstrations were carried out in three clusters of farmer's fields and adherence to recommended practices for mustard production was ensured by KVK scientists.

Result: The results revealed that the yield of mustard grown in demonstration plots (2538 kg ha^{-1}) was much higher than that of check plots (2006 kg ha^{-1}). Overall, the output of demonstration plots was 26.49% higher than that of check plots. The 531 kg ha^{-1} average extension gap brought attention to the need for farmers to receive education through a range of extension approaches in order for them to adopt improved agricultural technology. An average technology index was 11.2% across three clusters. The economic feasibility of the intervention was shown by a good B: C ratio which was 1.65 for farmer's practice and 2.05 for demonstration plots. The aforementioned information suggests that mustard crop productivity may be increased through cluster demonstrations, which encourage farmers to use the types of scientific production methods that were on exhibit in the CFLD plots.

Key words: Cluster frontline demonstrations, Extension gap, Mustard, Technology gap.

INTRODUCTION

The economics of agriculture depend significantly on oilseed in many regions of the world. The top five producers of oilseeds in the world are the United States, Brazil, Argentina, China and India which accounts for 82% of total production (Shenoi, 2003). After groundnut, mustard-rapeseed is the most significant oil seed crop in India, accounting for around 25% of all oilseed output. Oilseed crops, the second-largest group of agricultural products after grains because of their high fat content, are crucial to the Indian agricultural economy. After soybean and palm oil, rapeseed mustard is the third-largest group of oilseed crops in the world. Mustard, which accounts for nearly one-third of all oil produced in India, is the principal edible oilseed crop. Indian mustard seeds have an oil content that ranges from 30% to 48%. The remainder of this oil, which is also used to create medicines and animal feed, is a great source of energy. Due to its role as a food preservative, it is a highly popular media for prickles (Gopale *et al.,* 2022). In addition to the benefits of oil made from mustard rapeseed, the seeds, sprouts, leaves and fragile plants provide health benefits when used as vegetables and seasonings. Selenium, calcium, magnesium, iron, phosphorus, zinc, magnesium, manganese and other elements are present in them (Verma and Prasad, 2023).

Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) is grown across the country over an area of 8.06 million hectares, yielding 11.75 million tonnes and 1457 kg ha^{-1}, respectively. The state of Rajasthan has the greatest acreage dedicated to this crop, with the next-largest amounts being in Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Punjab, Assam and West Bengal. Haryana is the third-largest state in the country, producing 1.37 million tonnes across 0.71 million hectares with an average yield of 1914 kg ha^{-1} in 2021-2022. Due to population expansion and growing living standards, India's domestic usage of edible oils has greatly increased over time. When compared to the 115.71 lakh tonnes of net domestic edible oil availability in 2021-2022, it reached a level of 20.82 lakh tonnes, with imports covering the 141.94 lakh tonnes of necessary edible oil (Anonymous, 2022).

It demonstrates that there is still a sizable imbalance between the supply and demand of edible oil, which is covered by large imports that cost a sizable sum of foreign currency. The necessity to overcome various biotic, abiotic and socioeconomic restrictions that prevent the yield potential from being fully used. To overcome stagnant

[1]Krishi Vigyan Kendra, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Sadalpur-125 050, Hisar, India.

Corresponding Author: Pooja Jangra, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Sadalpur-125 050, Hisar, India. Email: poojajangra.hau@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Hooda, V. and Jangra, P. (2024). Impact of Cluster Frontline Demonstration on Adoption of Improved Practices of Mustard Crop. *Agricultural Reviews*. **46(4):** 686-689. doi: 10.18805/ag.R-2694.

Submitted: 20-01-2024 **Accepted:** 04-07-2024 **Online:** 31-07-2024

oilseed output and achieve self-sufficiency in the production of edible oilseeds, the government decided to push the most recent production technology in oilseed production. The National Mission on Oilseeds and Oil Palm (NMOOP) authorized KVK to carry out the "Cluster Frontline Demonstrations on Oilseeds" project for ICAR-ATARI in Jodhpur. Cluster frontline demonstration is a novel strategy used by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research on Oilseed and Pulse crops to create a direct line of communication between scientists and farmers. During demonstrations, farmers are guided by KVK scientists in the application of improved technologies such as improved varieties, seed treatment, IPM, INM, land preparation, *etc* In order to increase production, productivity and interest in growing oilseed crops, which are losing significance as a result of the yield stagnation that farmers are currently facing, the stated goals of CFLDs were to show farmers the new technologies that Research Institutes and SAUs had recommended.

Keeping the above points in view, the 100 cluster frontline demonstrations (CFLDs) on rapeseed-mustard using production improved technologies were conducted with the objectives to evaluate the effect of cluster frontline demonstrations on improving mustard crop production and evaluating the technological gap in mustard production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present examinations on CFLDs were conducted during *rabi* 2018-19 season by KVK, Sadalpur (Hisar) of Haryana state.An aggregate of 100 demonstrations were conducted in three clusters namely Hisar-1, Hisar-II and Adampur Blocks of Hisar district over an area of 40 hectares. Before conducting CFLD a list of farmers was prepared from group meeting and specific skill training was imparted to the selected farmers regarding different aspect of cultivation *etc*, were followed as suggested by Chaudhary (1999) and Venkatta Kumar *et al.* (2010). The chaudhary charan singh haryana agricultural university's recommended package of practices for oilseed were followed in all the demonstration plots. The demonstration at grower fields were regularly visited at different crop stages by KVK scientists.

The yield data and economic of demonstration and check plot were recorded and analysed. Different parameters like extension gap, technology gap and technology index as suggested by Dayanand *et al.* (2012) were used for calculating gap analysis, costs and returns. CFLDs were conducted at farmer s field so that maximum number of grower can observe the demonstration field and interact with each other so that interest for cultivation of mustard crop can be generated among the farmers as main idea of CFLD is seeing is believing.

The logical tool used for assessing the performance of the FLD is as under:

$$\text{Gross return} = \text{Yield} \times \text{Minimum support price of produce}$$

$$\text{Net return} = \text{Gross return} - \text{Gross cost}$$

$$\text{Benefit Cost ratio (B:C)} = \frac{\text{Gross return}}{\text{Gross cost}}$$

$$\text{Extension gap} = \text{Demonstration yield} - \text{Check plot (Farmers' practice) yield}$$

$$\text{Technology gap} = \text{Potential yield} - \text{Demonstration yield}$$

$$\text{Technology index} = \frac{\text{Potential yield} - \text{Demonstration yield}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CCSHAU's Hisar mustard variety RH 749, which has a production potential of 28.75 q ha^{-1}, was used in CFLDS experiments. Using mustard varieties grown locally, checks have been made locally. Farmers have been given a set of procedures to follow in order to implement the CCS HAU Hisar recommendations. The mustard technologies and input materials given to farmers based on their requirements were used to demonstrate these financial literacy tests, as shown in Table 1.

Yield analysis

According to the findings of the farmers' field cluster frontline demonstrations, mustard yield was significantly higher under demonstration plots than check plots in all three clusters. In comparison to check plots, Cluster-II had

Table 1: Particulars of mustard grown under frontline demonstrations and farmer's practices.

Particulars	Farmer practices (local check)	Front line demonstration (Improved technology)
Variety	Local/RH-30	RH-749
Seed Rate (Kg/acre)	1-1.5	1.5
Seed Treatment	-	Carbendezim 2 gm per kg seed + Imdachloprid 10 ml + Biofertilizer 20 ml per Acre
Spacing	30 cm	30cm
Sowing Time	1st week of October	25 September - 15 October
Nutrient Management (N:P:K)	50 Kg DAP + 50 Kg Urea	52 Kg Urea + 50 Kg SSP + 5 Kg Sulpher
Weed Control	-	Pendimethlain 1 Litt. per Acre
Insect Pest and Disease Management	As suggested by dealer	Melathion 250 ml + Carbendazim 500 gm + Mencozeb 500 gm + Dimethoate 500 ml.

Table 2: Cluster wise grain yield and gap analysis of frontline demonstrations on mustard.

Cluster	No. of demo	Yield (Q/ha)		Increase	Extension	Technology	Technology
		Demo	Farmer	in yield (%)	gap (q/ha)	gap (q/ha)	index (q/ha)
I	60	24.98	20.0	24.90	4.98	3.77	13.11
II	30	24.96	19.5	28.00	5.46	3.79	13.18
III	10	26.20	20.7	26.57	5.50	2.55	8.87
Average		25.38	20.06	26.49	5.31	3.37	11.2

Table 3: Economic analysis of Demo and Farmer's plots in frontline demonstrations plot.

Cluster	Yield (Q/ha)		Gross cost (Rs./ha)		Gross return (Rs./ha)		Net return (Rs./ha)		B:C ratio	
	Farmer	Demo	Farmer	Demo	Farmer	Demo	Farmer	Demo	Farmer	Demo
I	20	24.98	52500	53047.5	86500	107416	34000	54368.5	1.65	2.02
II	19.5	24.96	52500	53047.5	84400	107332	31900	54284.5	1.61	2.02
III	20.7	26.20	52500	53047.5	89440	112540	36940	59492.5	1.70	2.12
Average	20.06	25.38	52500	53047.5	86780	109096	34280	56048.5	1.65	2.0

the highest yield increase (28.00%), followed by Cluster-III (26.57%) and Cluster-I (24.90%). In demonstration plots a yield of 17.49 per cent more than in check plots was observed. Singh *et al.* (2014) also suggested that the use of high yielding improved variety under FLD programmes leads to increase in the production as well as productivity also.

FLD practices created great awareness and motivated the other farmers to adopt appropriate oilseed production technologies. Rana *et al.* (2017) assessed the management of stem rot disease in mustard crop and concluded that the seed treatment with Carbendazim @ 2.0 g kg^{-1} seed controlled stem rot disease more efficiently in mustard crop. The demonstrations resulted in significant average increase in yield of mustard crop and also higher net returns over check plots. The adoption of a set of practices for mustard crops, such as improved variety, seed treatment and integrated pest and disease management, may be the cause of the demonstrated plots' increased yield. Similar type of results were obtained by Mandal *et al.* (2017) at birbhum region of west Bengal for lentil crop.

Gap analysis

Gap analysis was calculated to assess the extension gap and technology gap. The perusal of the data in Table 2 reveals that extension gap in cluster-III was higher (5.50 q ha^{-1}) followed by cluster -II (5.46 q ha^{-1}) and Cluster-I (4.98 q ha^{-1}). Shivran *et al.* (2020) evaluated performa nce analysis of improved varieties of Indian mustard in terms of gap analysis, yield enhancement and economic viability through front-line demonstrations. The average yield gaps for technology, extension and technology index were significant and resulted in realizing higher benefit: cost ratio compared to the Farmers' practice during six years' study period. The overall extension gap was observed 5.31 q ha^{-1}, which emphasized the need to educate the farmers through various extension means for adoption of improved mustard production technologies, to bridge the wide extension gap.

The technology gap showed the feasibility of the technology at farmers' field. The lower the value of technology gap, more will be the feasibility of technology distributed. The data in Table 2 reveals that technology index range from 8.87 to 13.11 per cent in three clusters. The average technology index of three clusters was 11.2 per cent. Low value of technology index reflects adequacy of technology. This means that technology demonstrated through CFLDs was feasible in that region and needs to popularize through various extension departments for the benefits of farmers.

Economic analysis

Data regarding economic indicators *i.e.* cost of cultivation, gross returns, net return and benefit cost ratio are depicted in Table 3. Economic return was observed to be a function of grain yield and sale price or minimum support price. The data in Table 3 clearly shows that net return of demonstration plots was Rs. 56.04 thousand ha^{-1} as compared to check plots (farmers' practice) which was Rs. 34.28 thousand ha^{-1}. The higher additional returns obtained under demonstrations could be due to improved technology, nonmonetary factors, timely operations of crop cultivation and scientific monitoring. Favorable benefit cost ratio proved the economic viability of intervention. The B: C ratio was 2.05 under demonstration, while it was 1.65 under control plots. Choudhary and Nehra (2021) found that average yield of sesame obtained from the three years of demonstrations was 24. 83 per cent higher and the average net returns obtained from demonstrations was Rs. 5900/ hectare higher than the average net returns obtained from local check. Singh *et al.* (2014) also concluded that the FLD programme was found to be useful in imparting knowledge and adoption level of farmers in various aspects of oilseed production technologies. Kumar *et al.* (2019) also recorded higher yield of mustard under demonstrations against farmer practices during the year of experimentation

and their results clearly indicated the positive effects of FLDs over the existing farmer's practices.

CONCLUSION

From the above data it is inferred that the Cluster Front Line demonstration program was successful in influencing farmers' attitudes toward growing mustard. Farmers' skill and knowledge was increased as a result of the demonstration plots of mustard crop being grown using improved technologies. Through cluster demonstrations, farmers can be encouraged to adopt the cutting-edge production techniques that were shown to work in the CFLD plots, thereby increasing mustard crop production and productivity. It has been found that scientific knowledge transmission, provision of high-quality, need-based inputs and proper application of those inputs can all increase potential yield.

For a quicker and more extensive spread of the advised practices among other farmers, the front line demonstration concept may be applied to all farmer categories, including progressive farmers. The availability of soil moisture, rainfall amounts, climatic anomalies and disease infestation are also to blame for differences in crop yield. Additionally, it was found that farmers in the study area were ignorant of seed treatment for mustard crops, which led to significant losses from diseases spread by seeds like stem rot. It is further recommended that farmers be informed about seed treatment. As a result, the technologies presented under CFLDs helped to increase the area under mustard cultivation as well as production and productivity.

Conflict of interest

This is to declare that there is "No conflict of interest" among researcher.

REFERENCES

Anonymous (2022). Agricultural statistics at a glance. Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture. https://agricoop.nic.in.

Choudhary, B.N. (1999). Krishi vigyan kendra- A guide for KVK managers. Division of Agricultural Extension. ICAR. pp. 73-78.

Choudhary, R. and Nehra, M. (2021). Enhancing sesame production through frontline demonstration. *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*. 36(2): 89-91. doi: 10.18805/BKAP311.

Dayanand, R.K.V. and Mehta, S.M. (2012). Boosting mustard production through front line demonstrations. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education.* **12(3):** 121-123.

Gopale, R., Deotale, R.D., Raut, A.N., Kamdi, S.R., Baviskar, S.B. and Aade, A. (2022). Response of sowing dates on chemical biochemical, yield and yield traits in mustard genotypes. *Journal of Soils and Crops*. **32(1):** 171-179.

Kumar, A., Arya, M., Singh, A., Kumar, S., Pandey, M. and Singh, S.K. (2019). Evaluation of mustard productivity under front line demonstration in Bundelkhand region. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. **53(4):** 508-510. doi: 10.18805/IJARe.A-5385.

Mandal, S., Ray, P., Mondal, S. and Ankure, P. (2019). Front line demonstration on lentil using improved varieties for increasing productivity under lateritic soil of West Bengal. *Legume Research-An International Journal*. **42(3):** 426-429. doi: 10.18805/LR-3898.

Rana, D.K., Kumar, J., Singh, Y.P., Singh, R.K. and Yadav, B. (2017). Assessment and demonstrations on management of stem rot disease in mustard crop. *Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development.* **12(2):** 193-196.

Shenoi, P.V. (2003). Oilseeds production, processing and trade: A policy framework, Occasional Paper-26, National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development, Department of Economic Analysis and Research, Mumbai.

Shivran, R.K., Singh, U., Kishor, N., Kherawat, B.S., Pant, R. and Mehra, K. (2020). Gap analysis and economic viability of front-line demonstrations in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) under hyper arid partial irrigated zone of Rajasthan. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. **11(4):** 353-60.

Singh, A.K., Singh, C.K., Singh, Y.P. and Singh, D.K. (2014). Impact of frontline demonstration on adoption of improved practices of oilseed crops. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. **14(3):** 75-77.

Venkattakumar, R., Ramana Rao, S.V., Padmaiah, M. and Madhuri, P. (2010). Production constraints and information needs of growing Andhra Pradesh. *Agriculture Extension Review*. **22:** 21-24.

Verma, L.C. and Prasad, A. (2023). Estimation of yield gap in Rapeseed-mustard through cluster front line Demonstrations. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*. **11(2):** 140-144.