REVIEW ARTICLE Agricultural Reviews, Volume 45 Issue 1: 103-108 (March 2024)

Early Growth and Reproductive Performance of Crossbred Dairy Cattle in Ethiopia: A Review

Nibo Beneberu[1], Fikadu Wodajo[1]

10.18805/ag.RF-278

ABSTRACT

The economy of livestock production largely depends upon the early growth and reproductive efficiency of the animals. This review was conducted to generate the database about the early growth and reproductive traits of crossbred cattle such as birth weight (BW), age at first service (AFS) and age at first calving (AFC) under Ethiopian conditions. The effort was made to collect and discuss all the published materials in the required areas in order to provide piece of information pertaining to early growth and reproductive traits of crossbred dairy cattle. In order to achieve the best early growth and reproductive performance in dairy animals, it is concluded that management system improvement, including effective heat detection and timely insemination, better health management, genetic improvement of crossbreeding and supplementation of good quality and quantity feed resources, is necessary.

Key words: Early, Growth traits, Reproductive trait.

Ethiopia is one of the developing countries in Africa known with a huge livestock population. The estimated total cattle population for the country is about 70 million constituting of 44% males and 56% females and the proportion of indigenous breed is 97.4% hybrid and exotic breeds are about 2.3% and 0.31%, respectively (CSA, 2020/2021). The dairy industry in Ethiopia is still not well developed compared to east African countries like Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda (Hunduma, 2013).

Cattle's total productivity and adaptation efficiency are heavily reliant on their reproductive success in a particular environment. Reproduction is an indication of reproductive efficiency and the pace of genetic advancement in both selection and crossbreeding programs, notably in dairy production systems.

Reproductive characteristics are critical variables in dairy production profitability (Fikre *et al*., 2007). Female reproductive performance is one of the most significant factors in cattle production. AFS and AFC can be used to evaluate reproductive performance. According to Tadesse (2014), a cow's reproductive efficacy impacts its lifetime production. The first calving signifies the beginning of a cow's productive life and is directly tied to generation interval. Therefore, the objective of this review was focused on reviewing and generating compiled information on early growth and reproductive performance of crossbred dairy cattle in Ethiopia.

Growth performance of crossbred dairy cattle

Birth weight

The birth weight performance of crossbred dairy cattle was evaluated by researchers under different agroclimatic conditions as well as managemental systems. The birth weight reported weights Jersey (J) × Horro (HO) at BARC (Bako Agricultural Research Center) and Holstein Friesian (HF) × Fogera (FO) crossbred cattle at ALRC (Andassa Livestock Research Center), 19.1 kg and 23.5 kg (Habtamu Abera *et al*., 2012; Addisu Bitew *et al*., 2010), respectively. It is also assessed with different genotypes utilising data obtained from ranch and research centre since evaluating performance on farm data is challenging due to a lack of record keeping and unknown blood level/genotype of handled/reared animals.

The research conducted at Metekel Cattle Breeding Improvement Ranch for 50, 75 and 87.5% HF × Fogera was 24.58±0.10, 26.56±0.26 and 26.45±0.73 kg, respectively (Belay Zeleke, 2014). Based on the reports on Aynalem Haile *et al*. (2011) the performance of birth weight for 50, 62.5, 75, 87.5% HF × Boran crossbred were 26±0.15, 29.2±0.36, 31.1±0.28 and 31.4±0.27 kg, respectively. In both Boran and Fogera crosses, the performance of the herd increase as blood level increases. The birth weight performances of different crossbred dairy cattle are presented in Table 1.

[1]Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holetta Agricultural Research Center. P.O.Box 2003 Addis Ababa or 31 Holetta, Ethiopia.

Corresponding Author: Fikadu Wodajo, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holetta Agricultural Research Center. P.O.Box 2003 Addis Ababa or 31 Holetta, Ethiopia.
Email: fikadu121084z@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Beneberu, N. and Wodajo, F. (2024). Early Growth and Reproductive Performance of Crossbred Dairy Cattle in Ethiopia: A Review. Agricultural Reviews. 45(1): 103-108. doi: 10.18805/ag.RF-278.

Submitted: 10-07-2023 **Accepted:** 08-12-2023 **Online:** 10-01-2024

Table 1: Birth weight for crossbred dairy cattle with different genetic group in Ethiopia.

No.	Breed genotype	BW (Kg)	Study sites	Source
1	50% HF × BO	24.36±0.14	Abernosa ranch	Ababu *et al*., 2006
2	50% HF × FO	24.58±0.10	MCBIR /on-station	Belay, 2014
3	50% HF × A	21.5±0.5	ALF/on-station	Abdinasir and Eskil, 2001
4	50% HF × Ba(F1)	25.5±0.4	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
5	50% HF × Ba(F2)	28.2±0.6	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
6	50% HF × BO	28.2±0.65	HARC/on-station	Gizachew *et al*., 2003
7	50% HF × BO	26±0.15	On-station	Aynalem *et al*., 2011
8	50% HF × BO(F1)	25.7±0.3	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
9	50% HF × BO(F2)	27.9±0.4	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
10	50% HF × BO/F1	25.38±0.26	HARC/on-station	Berhanu, 2008
11	50% HF × BO/F2	27.84±0.25	HARC/on-station	Berhanu, 2008
12	50% HF × BO/F2	27.84±0.25	HARC/on-station	Berhanu, 2008
13	50% HF × HO(F1)	22.9±0.4	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
14	50% HF × HO(F2)	26.1±0.6	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
15	50% HF × BO F1	25.08±0.14	On-station	Fikadu, 2020
16	50% HF × BO F2	28.53±0.21	On-station	Fikadu, 2020
17	50% HF × BO F3	26.83±0.29	On-station	Fikadu, 2020
18	50% HF × Z	24.6±0.5	ALF/on-station	Abdinasir and Eskil, 2001
19	50% J × Ba(F1)	21.6±0.5	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
20	50% J × Ba(F2)	23.3±0.5	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
21	50% J × BO	23±0.74	HARC/on-station	Gizachew *et al*., 2003
22	50% J × BO(F1)	21.5±0.3	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
23	50% J × BO(F2)	22.8±0.4	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
24	50% J × BO/F1	20.91±0.44	HARC/on-station	Berhanu, 2008
25	50% J × BO/F2	22.07±0.33	HARC/on-station	Berhanu, 2008
26	50% J × HO(F1)	19.9±0.4	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
27	50% J × HO(F2)	22±0.6	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
28	50% HF × BO	24.83±2.33	HARC/on-station	Yohannes, 2017
29	62.5% HF × BO	28.9±0.7	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
30	62.5% HF × BO	28.6±0.94	HARC/on-station	Gizachew *al*., 2003
31	62.5% HF × BO	29.2±0.36	On-station	Aynalem *et al*., 2011
32	62.5% J × BO	21.9±0.9	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
33	62.5% J × BO	20.7±1.13	HARC/on-station	Gizachew *et al*., 2003
34	75% HF × Ba(F1)	29.3±0.6	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
35	75% HF × BO	31.1±0.28	On-station	Aynalem *et al*., 2011
36	75% HF × BO(F1)	29.7±0.4	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
37	75% HF × BO(F2)	28.6±1.2	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
38	75% HF × BO F2	31.31±0.33	On-station	Fikadu, 2020
39	75% HF × FO	26.56±0.26	MCBIR /on-station	Belay, 2014
40	75% HF × HO(F1)	28.4±0.5	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
41	75% HF × Z	25.7±0.8	ALF/on-station	Abdinasir and Eskil, 2001
42	75% J × Ba(F1)	21.3±0.6	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
43	75% J × BO	22.13±0.37	HARC/on-station	Berhanu, 2008
44	75% J × BO(F1)	21.1±0.5	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
45	75% J × HO(F1)	21±0.5	On-station	Sendros *et al*., 2003
46	75% HF × A	24.6±0.6	ALF/on-station	Abdinasir and Eskil, 2001
47	75% HF × BO	31.74±0.29	HARC/on-station	Berhanu, 2008
48	87.5% HF × BO	31.4±0.27	On-station	Aynalem *et al*., 2011
49	87.5% HF × FO	26.45±0.73	MCBIR /on-station	Belay, 2014

Table 1: Continue...

Table 1: Continue...

50	HF × FO	24.92±0.37	MCBIR	Addisu and Hegede, 2003
51	HF × FO	23.5	ALRC /on-station	Addisu *et al.*, 2010
52	HF × HO	22.13±0.14	BARC/on-station	Habtamu *et al.*, 2012
53	J × HO	19.1±0.19	BARC/on-station	Habtamu *et al.*, 2012
54	J × HO	18.2±2.03	On-farm &on-station	Demissu, 2013

BW: Birth weigh; ALRC: Andassa Livestock Research Center; BARC: Bako Agricultural Research Center; HARC: Holetta Agricultural Research Center; MCBIR: Metekele Cattle Breeding and Improvement Center; ALF: Assela Livestock Farm; Kg: Kilo gram; HF: Holstein Friesian; HF×BO: Holstein Friesian cross with Boran; HF×Ba: Holstein Friesian cross with Barka; HF×HO: Holstein Friesian cross with Horro; J×BO: Jersey cross with Boran; J×HO: Jersey cross with Horro; JxBa: Jersey cross with Barka; HO: Horro; BO: Boran; Ba: Barka; F1: First Generation Crossbred; F2: Second Generation Crossbred.

Table 2: Age at first service of crossbred dairy cows with different genetic group in Ethiopia.

Breed/genotype	AFS (months)	Study sites	Source
50% F1 Friesian	27.0±0.45	On station	Getahun *et al.*, 2019
50% F2 Friesian	34.8±0. 82	On station	Getahun *et al.*, 2019
50% F3 Friesian	33.0±1.02	On station	Getahun *et al.*, 2019
50% HF	27±0.7	On station	Haile *et al.*, 2009
50% HF × Local	28.80±5.48	On farm	Melku, 2016
75% F1 Friesian	31.3±0.81	On station	Getahun *et al.*, 2019
75% F2 Friesian	30.2±1.58	On station	Getahun *et al.*, 2019
75% HF	28±0.9	On station	Haile *et al.*, 2009
75% HF × Local	25.20±4.88	On farm	Melku, 2016
87.5% HF	28±1.2	On station	Haile *et al.*, 2009
93.75% HF	30.5±0.60	On station	Wubshet, 2018
HF × Borena	30.47±0.85	On station	Wassie *et al.*, 2015
HF × Borena	31.33±0.44	On station	Mengistu *et al.*, 2016
HF × Fogera	36.8±0.8	On station	Gebeyehu *et al.*, 2005
HF × Fogera	18.96	On farm	Sena *et al.*, 2014
HF × Arsi	33.62±0.71	On station	Wassie *et al.*, 2015
HF × Borena	40.9±0.33	On station	Berhanu *et al.*, 2011
HF × Borena	26.4±0.8	On station	Yohannes *et al.*, 2017
Horro-Jersey F1	33.3±10.90	On farm	Hunduma, 2013
Jersey × Horro	31.32±1.0	On station	Sisay, 2015

Reproductive performance traits

The lifetime productivity of a cow is influenced by its reproductive performance traits. Dessalegn *et al*. (2016) summarized that poor management of dairy cattle was the most probable factor adversely affecting the reproductive performance of cross breed cattle. Efficient heat detection and timely insemination, better health management, genetic improvement of crossbreeding, supplementing of good quality feed resources are required for optimal reproduction performance.

Age at first service (AFS)

Age at first service (AFS) is the age at which heifers attain optimum body condition and sexual maturity for accepting service for the first time. The higher age at first service resulted from the low level of management and poor feeding of calves and heifers at the earlier stages, which consequently had reduced growth rate and delayed puberty (Dessalegn *et al*., 2016) (Table 2).

Age at first calving (AFC)

Age at first calving is the age at which heifers calve for the first time. First calving also marks the beginning of a cow's productive life. Age at first calving is closely related to generation interval and, therefore, influences

Table 3: Age at first calving of crossbred dairy cows with different genetic group in Ethiopia.

Breed/genotype	AFC (months)	Study sites	Source
50% F1 Friesian	37.0±0.47	On station	Getahun *et al*, 2019
50% F2 Friesian	44.6±0.87	On station	Getahun *et al*, 2019
50% F3 Friesian	44.5±1.08	On station	Getahun *et al*, 2019
50% HF	39±0.6	On station	Haile *et al*., 2009
50% HF × Local	39.72±6.04	On farm	Melku, 2016
50% F1 Friesian	39.61	On station	Tadesse, 2014
50% F2 Friesian	46.25	On station	Tadesse, 2014
50% F3 Friesian	47.23	On station	Tadesse, 2014
50% Friesian × Arsi (F1)	29.2±1.4	On station	Negussie *et al*. 1998
50% HF × local (F1)	43.77±4.2	On station	Million *et al*., 2006
50% HF × local (F2)	35.91±1.3	On station	Million *et al*., 2006
50% HF × local (F3)	41.91±1.8	On station	Million *et al*., 2006
50% Jersey × Arsi (F1)	28.5±1.3	On station	Negussie *et al*. 1998
50% Jersey × Borena (F1)	46.91±3.8	On station	Million *et al*., 2006
50% Jersey × Borena (F2)	34.25±4.6	On station	Million *et al.,* 2006
50% Jersey × local (F1)	45.32±2.7	On station	Million *et al*., 2006
75% F1 Friesian	42.4±0.85	On station	Getahun *et al*, 2019
75% F2 Friesian	39.9±1.66	On station	Getahun *et al*, 2019
75% Friesian	41.29±9	On station	Kefena *et al*., 2006
75% HF	40±0.9	On station	Haile *et al*., 2009
75% HF × Local	36.36±4.56	On farm	Melku, 2016
75% Jersey	42.52±5	On station	Kefena *et al*., 2006
75% HF × Borena	46.46	On station	Tadesse, 2014
75% HF × local (F1)	45.60±2.6	On station	Million *et al*., 2006
75% HF × local (F2)	40.77±1.2	On station	Million *et al*., 2006
87.5% HF	39±1.3	On station	Haile *et al*., 2009
93.75% HF	39.76±0.67	On station	Wubsh *et al*., 2018
F1 Friesian	42.35±9	On station	Kefena *et al*., 2006
F1 Jersey	39.50±8	On station	Kefena *et al*., 2006
F2 Friesian	48.56±5	On station	Kefena *et al*., 2006
F2 Jersey	44.07±5	On station	Kefena *et al*., 2006
HF × Arsi	42.84±0.84	On station	Wassie *et al*., 2015
HF × Borena	39.49±0.83	On station	Wassie *et al*., 2015
HF × Borena	41.08±0.44	On station	Mengistu *et al*., 2016
HF × Fogera	29.52	On farm	Sena *et al*., 2014
HF × Local	38.8±0.5	On station	Negussie *et al*. 1999
HF × (Jersey × Arsi)	35.2±0.9	On station	Negussie *et al*. 1999
Jersey × GH	48.57±1.89	On farm	Wondossen *et al*., 2018
Jersey × Horro	42.2±11.45	On farm	Hunduma, 2013
Jersey × Horro	42.02±1.1	On station	Sisay, 2015

response to selection (Abdel Rahman and Alemam, 2008) (Table 3).

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that by improving the management system such as efficient heat detection and timely insemination, better health management, genetic improvement of crossbreeding and supplementing of good quality and quantity of feed resources are required for optimal early growth and reproductive performance. It is possible to improve the growth and reproductive performances of the crossbred dairy cattle in the country. On station and on farm production system should developed and implement complete records including identity, performance, health care and production recording schemes. Selection and culling criteria should be defined on the bases of growth and reproductive performance of cows.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest concerning the research review or authorship of this review article.

REFERENCES

Ababu, D., Ayalew, W., Hedge, P.B. and Taddese, Z. (2006). Performance of the abernosa ranch in the production of Ethiopian Boran × Holstein crossbreed dairy heifers in Ethiopia. Eth. J. Anim. Prod. 6(1): 33-53.

Abdel, R.I.M.K. and Alemam, T.A. (2008). Reproductive and productive performance of holstein-friesian cattle under tropical conditions with special reference to Sudan- A review. Agricultural Reviews. 29(1): 68-73.

Abdinasir, I. and Brannang, E. (2001). Growth performance of crossbred dairy cattle at Asella livestock farm, Arsi, Ethiopia. Ethiop. J. Sci. 24(1): 35-49.

Addisu, B. and Hegede, B.P. (2003). Reproductive and Growth Performance of Fogera Cattle and their F1 Friesian Crosses at Metekel Ranch, Ethiopia: In: Challenges and Opportunities of Livestock Marketing in Ethiopia. [Jobre, Y. and Gebru, G. (Eds)], Proc. 10th Annual Conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP) held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, August 22-24, 2002. ESAP, Addis Ababa. pp. 119-126.

Addisu, B., Taye, M., Kebede, A., Mekuriaw, G., Tassew, A., Mulugeta, T. and Goshu, G. (2010). Milk Yield and Calf Growth Performance of Cattle under Partial Suckling System at Andassa Livestock Research Centre, North West Ethiopia. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 22(8). Article #136. Retrieved March 16, 2024, from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd22/8/bite22136.htm.

Aynalem H., Joshi, B.K., Ayalew, W., Tegegne, A. and Singh, A. (2011). Genetic evaluation of Ethiopian boran cattle and their crosses with holstein friesian for growth performance in central Ethiopia. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 128: 133-140.

Belay, Z. (2014). Estimation of Genetic Parameters for Growth and Reproductive Traits of Fogera and Holstein Friesian Crossbred Cattle at Metekel Ranch, Amhara Region, Ethiopia: M.Sc. Thesis. Haramaya University, Ethiopia, 111 pp.

Berhanu, B. (2008). Genetic Evaluation of Dairy Cattle Sires in Central Highlands of Ethiopia. Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D) Dissertation, Deemed University, Haryana, India. pp. 328.

Berhanu, Y., Lobago, F. and Goshu, G. (2011). Calf survival and reproductive performance of Holstein-Friesian cows in Central Ethiopia. Tropical Animal Health and Production. 43: 359-365.

CSA (Central Statistical Agency) Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia. (2020/2021). Agricultural Sample Survey Report on Livestock and Livestock Characteristics (Private Peasant Holdings). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Demissu, H., Beyene, F. and Duguma, G. (2013). Early growth and reproductive performances of horro cattle and their F1 jersey crosses in and around horro guduru livestock production and research Center, Ethiopia. Sci. Technol. Arts Res. J. 2(3): 134-141.

Dessalegn, G., Tamir, B. and Berhane, G. (2016). Study of reproductive and production performance of cross breed dairy cattle under smallholder's management system in bishoftu and akaki towns. Global Journal of Animal Science, Livestock Production and Animal Breeding. 4(1): 243-247.

Fikadu, W. (2020). Phenotypic and genetic parameters estimation for growth traits of Holstein Friesian × Boran crossbred dairy cattle at Holletta agricultural research center, Ethiopia. MSc Thesis, Bahir Dar University, Baihir Dar, Ethiopia, 109 pp.

Fikre, L., Bekana, M., Gustafsson, H. and Kindahl, H. (2007). Longitudinal observation on reproductive and lactation performances of smallholder crossbred dairy cattle in Fitche, Oromia Region, Central Ethiopia. Tropical Animal Health and Production. 39: 395-403.

Gebeyehu, G., Asmare, A. and Asseged, B. (2005). Reproductive performances of Fogera cattle and their Friesian crosses in Andassa ranch, Northwest Ethiopia. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 17(12): 131. ISSN: 0121-3784.

Getahun, K., Hunde, D., Tadesse, M. and Tadesse, Y. (2019). Reproductive performances of crossbred dairy cattle at Holetta Agricultural Research Center. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 31, Article #138. Retrieved June 29, 2023, from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd31/9/kefa31138.html.

Gizachew, B., Yielma, Z., Bekele, T., G/wold, A., Demeke, S., Gojjam, Y. and H/Silassie, R. (2003). Performance of Two and Three Way Crossbred Dairy Cattle at Holetta Research Center in Central Highlands of Ethiopia: Growth Rate: In: Challenges and Opportunities of Livestock Marketing in Ethiopia. [Jobre, Y. and Gebru, G. (Eds)], Proc. 10th Annual conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP) held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, August 22-24, 2002. ESAP, Addis Ababa. pp. 127-130.

Habtamu, A., Abegaz, S. and Mekasha, Y. (2012). Influence of non-genetic factors on growth traits of Horro (Zebu) and their crosses with Holstein Friesian and Jersey cattle. International Journal of Livestock Production. 3(7): 72-77.

Haile, A., Joshi, B.K., Ayalew, W., Tegegne, A. and Singh, A. (2009). Genetic evaluation of Ethiopian Borena cattle and their crosses with Holstein Friesian in central Ethiopia: Reproductive traits. Journal of Agricultural Science. 147: 81-89.

Hunduma, D. (2013). Reproductive performance of crossbred dairy cows under smallholder condition in Ethiopia. African Journal of Dairy Farming and Milk Production. 1: 101-103.

Kefena, E., Kumsa, T. and Gojjam, Y. (2006). Review of the Performance of Crossbred Dairy Cattle in Ethiopia. In: Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production, 5-7 September 2006. [Degefa, T. and Feyissa, F. (Eds)], ESAP (Ethiopian Society of Animal Production), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp 191-199.

Melku, M. (2016). Milk Production and Reproduction Performance of Local and Crossbreed Dairy Cows in Selected Districts of West Gojam Zone, Amhara Region. M.Sc. Thesis, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. 149 pp.

Mengistu, W.D., Wondimagegn, K.A. and Demisash, M.H. (2016). Reproductive performance evaluation of Holstein Friesian and their crosses with Borena cattle breeds in ardaita agricultural technical vocational education training college dairy farm, Oromia Region, Ethiopia. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science. 6(4): 805-814.

Million, T., Dessie, T., Tessema, G., Degefa, T. and Gojam, Y. (2006). Study on age at first calving, calving interval and breeding efficiency of bos taurus, bos indicus and their crosses in the highlands of Ethiopia. Ethiopian Journal of Animal Production. 6(2): 1-16.

Negussie, E.E., Brannang and Rottmann, O.J. (1999). Reproductive performance and herd life of dairy cattle at Asella livestock farm, Arsi, Ethiopia. Crossbreds with 50, 75 and 87.5% European inheritance. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics. 116: 225-234.

Negussie, E.E., Banjaw, B.K. and Rottmann, O.J. (1998). Reproductive performance of dairy cattle at Asella livestock farm, Arsi, Ethiopia. I: Indigenous cows versus their F1 crosses. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics. 115: 267-280.

Sena, T., Gueshfesaha, Abebe, A., Hailu, B. and Dejen, W. (2014). Assessment of productive and reproductive performances of cross breed dairy cows in debre tabor town. Biology Agriculture and Healthcare. 4: 112-116.

Sendros, D., Neser, F.W.C. and Schoeman, S.J. (2003). Early growth performance of Bos Taurus × Bos indicus cattle crosses in Ethiopia: Evaluation of different crossbreeding models. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 120: 39-50.

Sisay, E. (2015). Productive and Reproductive Performance of Dairy Cows (Horro, Horro × Friesian and Horro × Jersey) at Bako Agricultural Research Center. MSc Thesis, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia.

Tadesse, B. (2014). Estimation of Crossbreeding Parameters in Holstein Friesian and Ethiopian Boran Crosses for Milk Production and Reproduction Traits at Holeta Agricultural Research Center. MSc Thesis. Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia. 83 pp.

Wassie, T., Mekuriaw, G. and Mekuriaw, Z. (2015). Reproductive performance for Holstein Friesian × Arsi and Holstein Friesian × Borena crossbred cattle. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science. 5(1): 35-40.

Wondossen, A., Mohammed, A. and Negussie, E. (2018). Reproductive performance of Holstein Friesian dairy cows in a tropical highland environment. Journal of Advances in Dairy Research. 6(2): 203. DOI: 10.4172/2329-888X.1000203.

Wubshet, K. (2018). Estimation of Genetic and Non-Genetic Parameters for Reproduction and Production Traits of Holstein Friesian Dairy Herd at ELFORA Cheffa Dairy Farm, Oromia Zone of Amhara Region. M.Sc. Thesis, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia. 93 Pp.

Yohannes, G., Tadesse, M., Efffa, K. and Hunde, D. (2017). Performance of crossbred dairy cows suitable for smallholder production systems at holetta agricultural research centre. Ethiop. J. Agric. Sci. 27(1): 121-131.