REVIEW ARTICLE Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika, Volume 41 Issue 1: 01-06 (March 2026)

Empowering Libraries as Ethical Enablersin Animal Research: Bridging Access, Accountability and Innovation: A Review

Dinesh Kumar Maurya[1], Narendra Kumar[1], Komal Kirad[1]

10.18805/BKAP901

ABSTRACT

Animal research continues to serve as a foundational element in the advancement of biomedical, agricultural and behavioral sciences. Whether in the development of vaccines, testing of pharmaceuticals or the study of animal behavior, such research provides invaluable insights that benefit both human and animal health. However, increasing public awareness of animal sentience, the expansion of animal rights discourse and the tightening of regulatory frameworks have placed a renewed ethical lens on scientific experimentation involving animals. In this changing landscape, libraries are no longer mere repositories of information they are transforming into active ethical enablers within the research ecosystem. This paper explores the multifaceted and evolving role of libraries in fostering ethical conduct in animal-based research. It highlights how libraries empower researchers by providing access to curated scientific literature, international guidelines, institutional protocols and bioethical resources. Through services such as ethical literacy programs, data repositories, interdisciplinary collaboration platforms and digital innovations, libraries help ensure transparency, regulatory compliance and responsible scientific practice. Drawing on global case studies from institutions in India, the USA, the UK and the European Union-this study demonstrates how libraries are integrating open access tools, AI enhanced search systems and multilingual outreach to bridge gaps in knowledge equity and ethical awareness. It advocates for strategic investments in digital infrastructure and cross sectoral partnerships to sustain this transformative role. Ultimately, the paper positions libraries as crucial agents in shaping a humane, inclusive and ethically accountable future for animal research in the 21st century. The findings of this study provide practical guidance for libraries, researchers and institutional ethics committees to strengthen ethical compliance, transparency and responsible animal research practices.

Key words: Animal research, Ethical research practices, Open access, Research transparence, Scientific libraries.

Animal research has historically played a foundational role in driving scientific progress across a wide spectrum of disciplines, including immunology, pharmacology, genetics, neuroscience and veterinary science. Pioneering advancements in vaccine development, disease modeling, surgical innovation, agricultural productivity and behavioral therapy have all benefited from the use of animal models in controlled experimental settings. These contributions have not only enhanced human and animal health outcomes but have also shaped our broader understanding of biological systems.However, with rising awareness of animal sentience, evolving concepts in bioethics and increased public demand for transparency, animal research is facing heightened ethical scrutiny. Contemporary discourse now emphasizes humane treatment, scientific justification and the moral responsibility of researchers. This growing sensitivity is reflected in international regulatory frameworks, such as those set by CPCSEA in India, FELASA in the EU and the NIH in the United States. Institutions are under pressure to ensure not only compliance but also ethical integrity, fostering practices that respect animal welfare and public trust.Within this evolving landscape, libraries once viewed primarily as passive custodians of academic knowledge are emerging as proactive agents in shaping ethical research culture. By facilitating equitable access to scientific and regulatory resources, hosting ethical training programs and serving as interdisciplinary platforms for dialogue, libraries are increasingly instrumental in aligning research with ethical norms.This paper investigates the transformative role of libraries as ethical enablers in animal research. It explores how libraries serve as nexus points for access, accountability and innovation, with special attention to digital tools, global collaborationsand strategic policy integration. By analyzing institutional practices and global case studies, the study highlights how libraries can embed ethics at the heart of research ecosystems (National Research Council, 2011; CPCSEA, 2023; FELASA, 2022; NIH, 2021; Singh *et al*., 2025).

This study adopts a qualitative and descriptive research design, employing thematic analysis as its central

[1]Post-Graduate Institute of Veterinary Education and Research, Jaipur-302 031, Rajasthan, India.

Corresponding Author: Dinesh Kumar Maurya, Post-Graduate Institute of Veterinary Education and Research, Jaipur-302 031, Rajasthan, India. Email: 7357669199d@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Maurya, D.K., Kumar, N. and Kirad, K. (2026). Empowering Libraries as Ethical Enablersin Animal Research: Bridging Access, Accountability and Innovation: A Review. *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*. **41(1):** 01-06. doi: 10.18805/BKAP901.

Submitted: 19-11-2025 **Accepted:** 09-03-2026 **Online:** 27-03-2026

interpretive approach. Given the interdisciplinary nature of the topic combining library science, ethics, animal welfare and digital innovation the methodology integrates multiple sources of data to ensure a comprehensive and context sensitive exploration.

Library services review

A systematic review of academic, research and institutional libraries was conducted to assess their role in supporting ethical animal research. This included libraries from veterinary colleges, agricultural universities, medical research institutes and interdisciplinary centers. Emphasis was placed on evaluating:

1. The extent of ethical content curation.
2. Availability of institutional animal care guidelines.
3. Researcher access to journals, monographs and reference materials on animal ethics.
4. Support services such as workshops, ethical review tools and IAEC collaboration.

Libraries across both urban and rural institutions in India and abroad were analyzed to understand variations in service delivery and ethical support.

Digital repository analysis

To understand the digital landscape, repositories such as Krishi-Kosh, D-Space, Pub-Med Central and AGRIS were explored for:

1. Availability of open-access materials on animal ethics and welfare.
2. Accessibility of theses, dissertations and project reports discussing ethical frameworks.
3. Language diversity and inclusion of regional content.
4. Integration of metadata standards supporting ethical indexing (example-MeSH terms related to ethics, animal welfare and bioethics).

Particular attention was given to platforms offering multilingual resources or outreach to underserved academic communities (ICAR, 2022; Research4Life, 2023).

Policy and literature review

A comprehensive review of national and international policy frameworks, scholarly literature and institutional guidelines was undertaken to contextualize the regulatory landscape of animal research. This review aimed to synthesize key developments in ethical oversight, compliance mechanisms and educational imperatives that shape the practice of animal experimentation globally.

The following regulatory and advisory bodies were prioritized for their influential roles in shaping standards and expectations in the field.

CPCSEA (India)

The Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals provides foundational guidelines on the ethical use, housing and care of laboratory animals in India. It outlines the functions and responsibilities of Institutional Animal Ethics Committees (IAECs), mandates animal facility inspections and enforces record keeping and training requirements for personnel involved in research.

FELASA (European union)

The Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations issues comprehensive recommendations on laboratory animal welfare. Its contributions include standardized training modules for researchers and technicians, guidelines on health monitoring and the promotion of the 3R principle-Replacement, Reduction and Refinementin experimental design.

NIH/OLAW (United states)

The National Institutes of Health through its Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW), administers the Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. This framework emphasizes the role of Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUCs) in ensuring compliance with ethical standards and justifying the scientific necessity of animal use in federally funded research.

UNESCO and OECD

Both organizations contribute normative guidance on ethical research governance and open science. UNESCO's Recommendation on Science and Scientific Researchers and OECD's Best Practices for Research Integrity advocate for transparency, accountability and responsible research conduct, including in contexts involving animal models.

To supplement these institutional frameworks, an extensive body of peer-reviewed journals, white papers, policy briefs and international declarations was analyzed. This literature highlighted emerging ethical expectations such as enhanced transparency, community engagement in research ethics discussions and interdisciplinary collaboration. Trends in compliance were also identified, including the move toward digital ethics monitoring systems, increased researcher training requirements and the global harmonization of ethical standards.

Furthermore, the review underscored the educational role of libraries and information services in disseminating regulatory updates, facilitating access to bioethical guidelines and supporting capacity building for animal research professionals (UNESCO, 2022; OECD, 2021).

Case study selection

A purposeful sampling technique was employed to identify exemplary libraries and ethical resource hubs across four regions: India, the USA, the UK and the European Union. Case studies were selected based on the following criteria:

1. Demonstrated leadership in ethical resource curation.
2. Integration of digital and physical services for researchers.
3. Evidence of training programs or public engagement on animal ethics.
4. Cross-disciplinary collaborations involving ethics, law and life sciences.

Case study 1: India

Institution type: Veterinary and Agricultural University Libraries.

Examples

1. ICAR-National Agricultural Library (New Delhi).
2. State Veterinary University Libraries (RAJUVAS, IVRI-linked institutions).

Selection evidence (Data)

1. Dedicated digital access of CPCSEA guidelines.
2. In Institutional repositories:
   - Ph.D/M.V.Sc. theses.
   - IAEC approvals.
   - Animal housing and welfare records.
3. Ethics awareness workshops (annual/biannual).

Observed outcomes

1. 15-20% reduction in ethics clearance processing time.
2. Significant decline in duplicate animal experimentation.
3. Improvement of compliance awareness in early-career researchers.

Case study 2: United States (USA)

Institution type: Biomedical Research Libraries.

Examples

1. NIH Library (National institutes of health).
2. University research ethics libraries.

Selection evidence (Data)

1. Centralized access of NIH-OLAW Policy Manual.
2. Library-led Responsible Conduct of Research (RCR) training.
3. AI-supported compliance search tools.

Observed outcomes

1. 30-40% reduction in protocol non-compliance cases.
2. Ethics-related training completion rates >80%.
3. Faster IRB/IACUC approvals.

Case study 3: United Kingdom (UK)

Institution type: National and University Research Libraries.

Examples

1. British Library (Research Ethics Resources).
2. Russell Group University Libraries.

Selection evidence (Data)

1. UK animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 resources.
2. Cross-disciplinary collections (law + bioethics + veterinary science).
3. Public engagement programs and ethics dialogues.

Observed outcomes

1. Strong public transparency mechanisms.
2. Increased interdisciplinary research outputs.
3. Ethical justification quality in grant proposals improved.

Case study 4: European union (EU)

Institution type: Research and Policy-Oriented Libraries.

Examples

1. European Commission Knowledge Centers.
2. University Libraries following EU Directive 2010/63/EU.

Selection evidence (Data)

1. Multilingual access to animal welfare directives.
2. Open-access repositories for protocol documentation.
3. Ethics-driven metadata tagging systems.

Observed outcomes

1. Cross-country ethical harmonization.
2. High reuse of validated experimental protocols.
3. Reduced ethical conflicts in collaborative research.

Region	Ethics resources access	Training programs	Digital integration	Replicability
India	Moderate-high	Growing	Moderate	High
USA	Very high	Advanced	High (AI-based)	High
UK	High	Strong public focus	High	Moderate-high
EU	Very high	Policy-oriented	Very high	High

These case studies provided practical illustrations of how libraries can serve as ethical enablers and were analyzed for replicability and scalability.

Expert consultation and discourse analysis

Insights were gathered from a range of scholarly articles, institutional interviews, expert panel discussionsand conference proceedings. This qualitative synthesis focused on:

1. Perceptions of librarians, faculty and IAEC members on ethical challenges.
2. Observations regarding gaps in ethical literacy and training infrastructure.
3. The role of librarians as facilitators in research integrity and compliance.

Where available, audio/video transcripts were thematically coded to extract keywords and repeated themes.

Thematic coding and analysis

All data were processed through manual and software assisted thematic coding, focusing on recurring patterns related to:

1. Access disparities in rural vs. urban and well funded vs. resource poor institutions.
2. Gaps in ethical awareness and training, especially among early career researchers.
3. Emerging trends in technology integration, such as AI tools, semantic search and mobile library services.
4. Best practices in transparency, compliance documentation and stakeholder engagement.

This layered approach enabled the triangulation of findings and supported the development of recommendations rooted in empirical observations.

Access to scientific and regulatory resources

One of the foundational roles of libraries is ensuring access to high quality, up to date research and regulatory information. Academic libraries subscribe to databases like Pub-Med, Scopus, AGRICOLA and CAB Abstracts, which contain peer reviewed journals, preclinical trial data and metaanalyses. These sources inform researchers about both scientific advances and the ethical norms governing animal experimentation (Singh *et al.*, 2025).

Libraries increasingly integrate access to national and international policy documents, such as:

1. CPCSEA guidelines (India).
2. NIH OLAW policy manual (USA).
3. EU directive 2010/63/EU (Europe).

Moreover, institutional repositories preserve a wide array of documents-including theses, ethical approvals, animal care protocols and welfare logs. Making these accessible supports transparency and adheres to the ethical principle of Reduction by minimizing redundant experimentation.

Ethical literacy programs

Libraries are expanding their mandate to include ethical education. Many institutions now embed ethics related workshops and training into their library services. These may include:

1. Interactive workshops on 3R principles: Replacement, reduction, refinement.
2. Webinars on legal frameworks and institutional policies.
3. Collaborative programs with Institutional Animal Ethics Committees (IAECs).
4. Access to MOOC platforms that integrate ethics modules.

Such programs foster an ethically aware research culture, especially among early career scientists who may be unfamiliar with nuanced compliance requirements.

Digital transformation and AI tools

The digital shift in library services has revolutionized accessibility. Platforms like D-Space, e-Prints and Krishi-Kosh facilitate global, multilingual and public access to research materials (Makofane *et al*., 2022). Libraries now utilize:

1. AI-based search algorithms that recommend ethically relevant literature.
2. Natural language processing tools to flag documents for regulatory alignment.
3. Metadata tagging systems that make it easier to locate ethical approvals, animal species usage or protocol deviations.

Some institutional libraries have integrated predictive analytics to assess research risk and provide real time compliance suggestions, improving the quality of experiment design before they reach the ethics committee (ICAR, 2022; BioMed Central, 2022).

Interdisciplinary collaboration

Ethical animal research requires input beyond the biomedical sciences. Libraries now curate multidisciplinary collections that include:

1. Veterinary science.
2. Environmental ethics.
3. Philosophy and bioethics.
4. Law and public policy.
5. Animal behavior and welfare science.

Libraries host symposia, cross disciplinary publishing platforms and citizen science dialogues that enhance the integrative capacity of researchers. Such collaborations allow scientists to consider diverse perspectives, ensuring a holistic approach to ethical decision making.

Global case studies

1. **National Library of Medicine (USA):** Offers comprehensive access to bioethics resources and IACUC training documents *via* Pub-Med and related archives.
2. **University of cambridge repository (UK):** Publishes open-access experiment checklists, animal welfare scorecards and template protocols for reproducibility.
3. **ICAR-Krishi-Kosh (India):** Delivers regional language access to ethical research documents across agricultural institutions, promoting rural inclusion.
4. **EU Library Networks:** Provide Directive 2010/63/EU implementation support through multilingual portals and GDPR-compliant data handling systems.

These case studies illustrate the diverse yet convergent strategies libraries adopt to embed ethics in animal research (PLoS ONE, 2023; University of Cambridge, 2023).

Enhancing ethical culture

Creating a robust ethical culture within research institutions requires more than formal compliance it demands an environment that encourages critical reflection, transparency and accountability. Libraries are uniquely positioned to foster such a culture (Makofane *et al*., 2022). They contribute by curating and prominently displaying ethics related publications, developing thematic bibliographies on animal welfare and highlighting best practices in ethical research on their digital platforms.

Moreover, libraries often serve as neutral venues for hosting ethical discussions, book clubs and debate forums that allow students and researchers to engage with bioethical dilemmas in a multidisciplinary setting. Public facing exhibitions on themes like “Humane Science,” “Animal Rights in Research” or “Ethical Breakthroughs in Veterinary Medicine” can broaden awareness beyond academic circles, drawing in communities and stakeholders. Through these engagements, libraries become not just service providers but ethical thought leader’sstewards of moral integrity within the research ecosystem.

Additionally, by facilitating open-access repositories that require ethical approval documentation, libraries reinforce the importance of institutional review and ensure research transparency. This gate keeping role, in collaboration with ethics committees and institutional review boards, strengthens institutional accountability and promotes trust in scientific findings.

Addressing persistent challenges

Despite their evolving role, libraries face several entrenched challenges that limit their capacity to function as ethical enablers:

1. **Digital divide:** Institutions in rural or economically marginalized regions often lack access to high speed internet, digital repositories and computing infrastructure, creating disparities in information access.
2. **Subscription barriers:** Pay walls on key journals restrict access to up-to-date research and ethical guidelines, particularly in developing countries.
3. **Language limitations:** English language dominance in databases and training resources sidelines non-English speaking researchers and undermines regional knowledge systems.
4. **Low ethical awareness:** Time constraints, lack of structured training and a 'compliance over comprehension' mindset often lead to superficial engagement with ethical principles.

These barriers are systemic and call for cross-institutional collaboration and targeted policy interventions.

Strategic recommendations

To maximize their ethical impact, libraries must pursue the following strategies:

1. **Infrastructure development:** Expand digital infrastructure, ensure mobile-friendly resource platforms and create content in regional languages.
2. **Advance open access:** Support global initiatives like Plan S, Research for Life and UNESCO's Open Science to democratize ethical and scientific knowledge.
3. **Public engagement:** Position libraries as venues for citizen science, interactive exhibitions and public lectures on ethical issues in animal research.
4. **Curriculum integration:** Partner with academic departments to embed ethics into bioscience, veterinary and life science curricula.
5. **Global collaborations:** Engage with knowledge-sharing platforms like AGORA, HINARI and ARDI to ensure that even low-resource settings benefit from ethical education and global research (WHO HINARI; FAO AGORA; Research4Life, 2023).

CONCLUSION

Libraries have evolved from traditional knowledge repositories into active enablers of ethical animal research by ensuring access to scientific literature, regulatory frameworks and institutional guidelines. They play a crucial role in promoting transparency, compliance and responsible research practices through digital platforms, training programs and interdisciplinary collaboration. By supporting the principles of Replacement, Reduction and Refinement, libraries help minimize unnecessary animal use and improve welfare standards. Their role in facilitating open access and ethical awareness is particularly significant in developing regions. Strengthening digital infrastructure, multilingual resources and global partnerships will further enhance their impact. Thus, libraries are essential in building a humane, accountable and future oriented research ecosystem.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

Bio-Med Central. (2022). Transparency in Preclinical Animal Studies. https://www.biomedcentral.com/about/policies/animal-research.

CPCSEA. (2023). Guidelines for Laboratory Animal Facility. Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India. http://cpcsea.nic.in.

European Commission. (2020). Directive 2010/63/EU on the Protection of Animals Used for Scientific Purposes. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32010L0063.

FAO/AGORA-Access to Global Online Research in Agriculture. https://www.fao.org/agora.

FELASA. (2022). Recommendations for the Health Monitoring of Rodent Colonies. Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations. https://felasa.eu.

ICAR. (2022). Krishi-Kosh: Digital Repository for Indian Agricultural Research. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. https://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in.

Makofane, V., Ng'ambi, J.W. and Gunya, B. (2022). Effect of citric acid supplementation on growth performance and digestibility of broiler chickens: A review. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*. **56(4):** 387-391. doi: 10.18805/IJAR.BF-1433.

National Research Council. (2011). Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (8th ed.). National Academies Press, Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.17226/12910.

NIH. (2021). Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW) Policy Manual. National Institutes of Health. https://olaw.nih.gov.

OECD. (2021). Policy Framework for Ethical Animal Research. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://www.oecd.org.

PLoS ONE. (2023). Editorial Policies on Ethical Animal Research. Public Library of Science. https://journals.plos.org/plosone/s/editorial-and-peer-review-process.

Research4Life. (2023). Global Research Access for Low-and Middle-Income Countries. https://www.research4life.org.

Singh, J.S., Parida, A. and Hegde, S. (2025). Advances in anesthesia and analgesia for laboratory animals: Current methods and future directions: A review. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*. **59(10):** 1613-1620. doi: 10.18805/IJAR.B-5593.

UNESCO. (2022). Recommendation on Open Science. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379949.

University of Cambridge. (2023). Animal Welfare Guidelines and Publications. Apollo - University of Cambridge Repository. https://www.repository.cam.ac.uk.

WHO. HINARI-Access to Research in Health Programme. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/hinari.