RESEARCH ARTICLE Indian Journal of Agricultural Research, Volume 60 Issue 3: 411-415 (March 2026)

# Study on Zinc Fertilization on Growth Dynamics and Yield Attributes of Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* L.)

Megha Kumari[1], Shakti Om Pathak[1], Debabrata Dhar[1], O.P.S Khola[2], Manjri[2]

10.18805/IJARe.A-6412


## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Green gram is an essential legume crop extensively cultivated across India. Its high protein content and ability to enhance soil fertility through nitrogen fixation make it a valuable crop. Particularly, a deficiency of the micronutrient zinc can disrupt various physiological and biochemical processes, ultimately limiting yields. Foliar application of zinc sulphate ($ZnSO_4$), along with the recommended fertilizer dose (RDF), has been shown to boost crop performance during critical growth stages. This study aimed to evaluate the influence of soil and foliar-applied zinc sulphate on the growth and yield attributes of green gram.

**Methods:** A field experiment was conducted during the summer season of 2024 at the Crop Research Farm of Kalia-awash, SGT University, Gurugram (Haryana). The experiment consisted of seven treatments and three replications, in a randomized block design (RBD). The soil was sandy loam, slightly alkaline and deficient in available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and micronutrients. Treatments included RDF alone and combinations of soil and foliar applications of $ZnSO_4$. Treatment $T_7$ involved RDF + 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ foliar application at branching and flowering stages.

**Result:** Among the treatments, $T_7$ showed highest value for different growth and yield parameters, like plant height (38.73 cm), number of branches per plant (14.73), number of trifoliate leaves (27.07), number of pods per plant (15.67), number of grains per pod (10.07), test weight (34.18 g), seed yield (13.60 q $ha^{-1}$) and biological yield (38.19 q $ha^{-1}$) were recorded.


**Key words:** Foliar application, Green gram, Pod yield, Zinc sulphate.

## INTRODUCTION

Pulses are one of the important segments of Indian agriculture after cereals in production. Green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) is one of the major pulse crops belongs to the family Leguminosae. Among the pulses, green gram is one of the most important and extensively cultivated crops in India, it is the third most important pulse crop after pigeon pea and chickpea (Udhaya *et al.*, 2023). Green grams have many local names “mung bean, mash or golden gram”. Its seed is more palatable, nutritive, digestible and non-flatulent than other pulses grown in country. It contains about 25% protein, which is almost three times that of cereals (Angmo *et al.*, 2022). The nutrient profile of the seed includes the amounts of protein (18-25%), carbohydrate, (50%), fat (3%), ash (4-5%), fibre (3-4.5%), phosphorus (367 mg) and calcium (132 mg) per hundred-gram seed (Dhinagaran *et al.*, 2021). The crop cultivated in the arid and semi-arid regions of the country has the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen, thereby enhancing soil fertility through the addition of (25-40 kg N/ha). These short duration crops also exhibit broader adaptability, enabling their cultivation during both the summer and kharif growing seasons (Tomar *et al.*, 2023). Mung bean plants thrive in loamy, well-drained, or sandy loam soils experiencing average temperatures between 20-40°C. These crop plants exhibit an upright or semi-upright growth habit, with the upper branches bearing paired leaves that display a trifoliate morphology characterized by elongated petioles (Kalasare *et al.*, 2022). According to the All India Coordinated Research Project on Pulses Annual Report (2022-23) on *Kharif* pulses Rajasthan (46% and 45%) leads the states contributing the most to both the area and production of mung beans, followed by Madhya Pradesh (9% and 14%), Maharashtra (9% and 8%), Karnataka (9% and 6%), Odisha (5% and 4%), Bihar (4% and 5%), Tamil Nadu (4% and 3%), Gujarat (3% and 4%), Andhra Pradesh (3% for both) and Telangana (2% for both), respectively. In the state of Haryana, green gram was cultivated over an area of 20.17 thousand hectares during the 2019-20 growing season, resulting in a total production of 12.00 thousand tones and an average yield of 595 kilograms per hectare (Kumar *et al.,* 2023). FYM application has a

[1]Department of Natural Resources Management, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary University, Gurugram-122 505, Haryana, India.
[2]Department of Crop Improvement, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary University, Gurugram-122 505, Haryana, India.

**Corresponding Author:** Shakti Om Pathak, Department of Natural Resources Management, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary University, Gurugram-122 505, Haryana, India. Email: shakti_fasc@sgtuniversity.org

**How to cite this article:** Kumari, M., Pathak, S.O., Dhar, D., Khola, O.P.S. and Manjri. (2026). Study on Zinc Fertilization on Growth Dynamics and Yield Attributes of Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research.* **60(3):** 411-415. doi: 10.18805/IJARe.A-6412.

**Submitted:** 06-05-2025 **Accepted:** 20-08-2025 **Online:** 30-09-2025

significant influence on the yield and nutritional quality of green gram. FYM plays a crucial role in improving soil fertility and productivity through its positive effects on the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil, as well as by promoting balanced plant nutrition. The application of well-decomposed FYM not only supplies a range of nutrients but also enhances the physical properties of the soil and encourages beneficial soil microbial activity (Rao *et al.*, 2021). Increased nitrogen application has been shown to result in higher grain yields, fodder yields and net returns from legume crops, as well as improved nitrogen usage efficiency. Similarly, research on mung beans has demonstrated that applying starting phosphorus increases plant height, nodule count and the availability of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the subsequent crop, thereby enhancing overall crop productivity and nutrient utilization (Muindi *et al.*, 2020).

As an essential micronutrient, zinc plays a critical role in plant growth, serving as a component of various enzyme systems involved in energy production, protein synthesis and growth regulation. It is also implicated in auxin formation, activation of dehydrogenase enzymes and stabilization of ribosomal fractions. Zinc deficiency in plants manifests as delayed maturity, with symptoms including shortened internodes and reduced leaf size. In Indian soils, particularly in intensively cultivated and alkaline areas, zinc deficiency is widespread, resulting in reduced crop yields and diminished grain quality. Zinc can be applied through foliar, soil, pelleting, or seed dressing methods. Foliar application, which involves the direct spraying of dissolved zinc sulfate onto plant leaves and stems, allows for absorption by the leaf epidermis. The zinc is then remobilized and transported to the grain via the phloem, facilitated by Zn-regulating transporter proteins. (Muindi *et al.,* 2020). The application of micronutrients, such as foliar sprays of manganese, iron and zinc, has been found to significantly improve the growth and yield-related characteristics of mung bean plants (Hussain *et al.,* 2021).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during the summer season of 2024 at the crop research centre (CRC) of Kaliawash, SGT University, Gurugram (Haryana), located at 28.47' North latitude and 76.90' East longitude and at an altitude of 217 m above mean sea level. The crop research centre is located in the north- western zone of Haryana. The experimental site was hot and dry during the crop season, with 35.2 mm of total rainfall; zinc sulfate heptahydrate ($ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$) was used in the experiment. In order to determine the Physiochemical properties of the soil, soil samples were collected randomly from the plot of different treatment of the experimental field at a depth of 0-15 cm. A representative composite sample was then analysed to assess the physio-chemical properties of the soil using standard methods. The analytical results revealed that the soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.87) and (EC 0.32 dS $m^{-1}$) were measured using the pH meter (Jackson 1973), had low levels of available nitrogen was determined by the alkaline $KMnO_4$ method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), medium in available phosphorus by the Olsen's method (Jackson, 1973), potassium by the 1 N ammonium acetate extraction method (Jackson, 1973), sulphur by the $CaCl_2$ extraction (Chesnin and Yien,1950) and micronutrients as per DTPA extraction by (Lindsay and Norvell 1978). The experiment was laid out with seven treatments of zinc sulphate, viz. Control $T_1$: Recommended dose of fertilizer N: P: K (20: 40: 40 kg $ha^{-1}$), $T_2$: RDF + soil application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 20 kg, $T_3$: RDF + soil application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 25 kg, $T_4$: RDF + Soil application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 30 kg, $T_5$: RDF + foliar application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% at branching stage, $T_6$: RDF + foliar application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% at flowering stage, $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% at branching and flowering stage, in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. Green gram variety (MH 1142) with plant geometry (30 cm × 25 cm) were sowed in mid-April 2024. The data on growth parameters like plant height, number of branches, total number of leave, number of pods and number of nodules were recorded from 5 randomly selected plants. Harvested plant samples were sun dried for 4-5 days and biological yield was recorded, threshing was done manually and weight of grain was subtracted from biological yield to get straw yield and calculation of economics.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data related to different growth yield parameters like plant height, number of branches, number of trifoliate leaves and number of nodules, number of pods $plant^{-1}$ number of grains $pod^{-1}$ test weight, biological yield and yield (q $ha^{-1}$) as influenced by the application soil and foliar application of zinc sulphate is shown below.

### Plant height

The combination of foliar treatments significantly increased the plant height of green gram, as shown in Table 1. The highest plant height at branching (19.10 cm) was observed in treatment $T_3$: RDF + soil application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 25 kg, which was found to be at par with treatments $T_6$ and $T_7$ and significantly superior to the other treatments. The highest plant height at harvest (38.73 cm) was recorded with treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage. This was found to be statically at par with treatments $T_5$ and $T_6$ and significantly higher than the other treatments. Treatment $T_1$ (RDF) recorded the lowest plant heights of 14.46 cm and 29.4 cm at branching and at harvest, respectively. Muindi *et al.* (2020) and Soni *et al.* (2020) reported similar result that under zinc treatment increased the plant height of mung bean.

### Number of branches per plant

The data regarding the number of branches per plant of green gram, as affected by the application of treatments,

recorded at two growth stages (At branching and at harvest), are presented in Table 1. The maximum number of branches per plant (5.05 at branching) was observed in treatment $T_3$: RDF + soil application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 25 kg, which was found to be at par with treatments $T_2$ and $T_4$ and significantly superior to the other treatments. The maximum number of branches per plant (14.73 at harvest) was observed in treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage, respectively and was found to be at par with treatments $T_3$ and $T_6$, significantly superior to the other treatments. The minimum number of branches per plant (2.33 at branching and 4.6 at harvest) was observed in treatment $T_1$ (RDF). Similar results were found by Tribhuwan *et al.* (2024) in green gram.

**Number of trifoliate leaves per plant**

The data regarding the number of trifoliate leaves per plant, as affected by the application of treatments, recorded at two growth stages (At branching and at harvest) are presented in Table 1. The highest number of trifoliate leaves per plant (13.70 at branching) was recorded with treatment $T_3$: RDF + soil application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 25 kg, which was found to be at par with treatments $T_6$ and $T_7$ and significantly superior to the rest of the treatments in green gram. The highest number of leaves per plant (27.07 at harvest) was recorded with treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage, respectively. This was found to be at par with treatments $T_3$ and $T_6$ and significantly superior to the rest of the treatments in green gram. The lowest number of leaves per plant (7.67 at 30 DAS and 13.97 at 60 DAS) was recorded with treatment $T_1$ (RDF). Similar results were found by Krishna *et al.* (2022).

**Nodulation**

As per experiment data on the number of nodules per plant at the flowering stage are presented in Table 1. The maximum number of nodules per plant was obtained under treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage, which was 12.05 and was found to be at par with treatments $T_3$ and $T_5$ and significantly superior to the rest of the treatments. The minimum number of nodules per plant was obtained under treatment $T_1$ (RDF). Similar findings were observed by Banoth *et al.* (2022).

**Number of pods plant$^{-1}$**

Represented data indicated that Zinc fertilization significantly increased the number of pod per plant for green gram. Treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage resulted in the highest number of pods per plant at harvest (15.67), which was comparable to treatments $T_2$ and $T_6$, which produced (12.57) and (13.73) pods per plant, respec-tively. Similar result reported by Gidaganti *et al.* (2019).

**Number of grains pod$^{-1}$**

The quantity of grains per pod at the harvesting stage was not substantially impacted by the treatments, as shown in Table 2. Treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage showed the highest number of grains per pod (10.07), whereas treatment $T_1$ (RDF) had the lowest number of grains per pod (7.26). Similar result reported by Hussain *et al.* (2021).

**Test weight**

The data test weight presented in Table 2 revealed that the highest test weight (34.18 g) was recorded with $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage, which was significance to majority of the treatments. However, treatments $T_3$ (33.26 g), $T_5$ (32.91 g) and $T_2$ (31.15 g) were found to be statistically at par with treatment $T_7$ (34.18 g). Similar findings were observed by Gidaganti *et al.* (2019).

**Table 1:** Effect of treatment on growth parameters of green gram at deferent growth stages.

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | | Number of branches (plant$^{-1}$) | | Number of trifoliate (plant$^{-1}$) | | Nodules at flowering stage (plant$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | At branching | At harvest | At branching | At harvest | At branching | At harvest | |
| $T_1$- RDF NPK (20:40:40) | 14.46 | 29.4 | 2.33 | 4.6 | 7.67 | 13.97 | 4.13 |
| $T_2$- RDF + (SA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 20 Kg | 16.86 | 31.6 | 4.23 | 9.27 | 9.28 | 20.05 | 9.07 |
| $T_3$- RDF + (SA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 25 Kg | 19.10 | 33.26 | 5.05 | 12.17 | 13.70 | 25.34 | 10.02 |
| $T_4$- RDF + (SA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 30 Kg | 17.05 | 28.00 | 4.37 | 5.03 | 8.13 | 15.19 | 4.63 |
| $T_5$- RDF+ (FA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% at branching stage | 18.04 | 36.33 | 3.17 | 11.07 | 9.68 | 18.41 | 11.06 |
| $T_6$- RDF+ (FA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% at flowering stage | 19.00 | 37.13 | 2.86 | 11.57 | 12.22 | 25.00 | 9.68 |
| $T_7$- RDF+ (FA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% at branching and flowering stage | 18.08 | 38.73 | 3.03 | 14.73 | 12.00 | 27.07 | 12.05 |
| SEm± | 0.65 | 1.76 | 0.21 | 0.98 | 0.44 | 0.97 | 0.58 |
| CD (5%) | 2.03 | 5.48 | 0.67 | 3.06 | 1.38 | 3.05 | 1.81 |

*RDF- Recommended dose of fertilizer *SA- Soil application, *FA- Foliar application.

**Table 2:** Effect of treatment on yield and yield parameters of green gram at deferent growth stages.

| Treatments | Number of pods/ plants | Test weight (g) | Number of grains/ pod | Biological yield (q ha$^{-1}$) | Yield (q ha$^{-1}$) | Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) | Gross return (Rs/ha) | Net returns (Rs/ha) | B:C |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $T_1$- RDF NPK (20:40:40) | 10.23 | 30.08 | 7.26 | 24.29 | 10.12 | 43726.48 | 87861.84 | 44135.36 | 2.01 |
| $T_2$- RDF + (SA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 20 Kg | 12.57 | 31.15 | 8.13 | 32.54 | 12.68 | 45976.48 | 110087.76 | 64111.28 | 2.39 |
| $T_3$- RDF + (SA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 25 Kg | 12.08 | 33.26 | 8.43 | 36.44 | 13.17 | 46538.98 | 114341.94 | 67802.96 | 2.46 |
| $T_4$- RDF + (SA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 30 Kg | 11.57 | 29.18 | 6.07 | 23.80 | 9.30 | 47101.48 | 80742.6 | 33641.12 | 1.71 |
| $T_5$- RDF+ (FA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% atbranching stage | 12.3 | 30.99 | 8.67 | 31.78 | 11.90 | 43739.98 | 103315.8 | 59575.82 | 2.36 |
| $T_6$- RDF+ (FA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% atflowering stage | 13.73 | 32.91 | 7.63 | 32.29 | 12.70 | 43739.98 | 110261.4 | 66521.42 | 2.52 |
| $T_7$- RDF+ (FA) $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.5% at branching and flowering stage | 15.67 | 34.18 | 10.07 | 38.19 | 13.60 | 43753.48 | 118075.2 | 74321.72 | 2.71 |
| SEm± | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.66 | 1.17 | 0.37 | | | | |
| CD (5%) | 3.05 | 2.97 | 2.05 | 3.65 | 1.16 | | | | |

*RDF-Recommended dose of fertilizer *FA- Foliar application *SA- Soil application.

### Biological yield

Data of research showed (Table 2) that Zinc fertilization markedly influenced the biological yield, ranging from 24.29 q ha$^{-1}$ to 38.19 q ha$^{-1}$. The maximum biological yield (38.19 q ha$^{-1}$) was recorded under the treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage, which was found to be at par with treatments $T_2$ and $T_3$ and significantly superior to the other treatments. The lowest biological yield (24.29 q ha$^{-1}$) was recorded in treatment $T_1$ (RDF). The similar results were found by Jamal *et al.* (2018).

### Grain yield

The grain yield of green gram ranged from 9.30 q ha$^{-1}$ to 13.60 q ha$^{-1}$. The highest seed yield (13.60 q ha$^{-1}$) was recorded in treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage, which was at par with treatments $T_3$ and $T_6$ and significantly superior to the other treatments. The lowest seed yield (9.30 q ha$^{-1}$) was recorded in treatment T3. Similar findings were observed by Gahlot *et al.* (2020) and Gram *et al.* (2020).

### Effect of treatments on Benefit cost ratio (B:C) of green gram cultivation

Zinc nutrition significantly influenced (B:C) ratio in cultivation of green gram is described in Table 2. Maximum gross returns (Rs. 118075.2 ha$^{-1}$), net returns (Rs. 74321.72 ha$^{-1}$) and B:C ratio (2.71) was recorded under treatment $T_7$: RDF + foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage. With a greater magnitude of yield enhancement, the marginal increase in production costs relative to the other treatments resulted in better yields, gross returns and benefit-cost ratios.

## CONCLUSION

The experimental study suggests that treatment combination $T_7$ *i.e.* recommended dose of fertilizers (NPK) combined with foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stage after sowing was the most effective in enhancing the growth, yield and economic returns in green gram. The application of RDF along with foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at these critical growth stages resulted in significantly higher yield. Based on the results of this study, it is recommended that green gram cultivation with RDF and foliar application of 0.5% $ZnSO_4$ at branching and flowering stages to optimize yield potential. So, it can be concluded that the management practice of zinc fertilization through foliar spray would be beneficial for farmers regarding economic profitability in green gram cultivation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have supported and guided me throughout the course of this research. I immensely thank the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural chemistry, SGT University, Gurugram Haryana for providing the necessary facilities,

resources and an environment conducive to research. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Shakti Om Pathak, for their valuable advice and encouragement and insightful feedback at every stage of this work.

**Disclaimers**

The views and opinions expressed in this research paper are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any affiliated institutions, organizations or funding agencies. The authors are solely responsible for the content and accuracy of the data and analysis presented herein. Any errors or omissions are the responsibility of the author.

**Informed consent**

All participants voluntarily took part in this study after receiving detailed information about its purpose and procedures. Written informed consent was obtained and confidentiality was assured. Participants were free to withdraw at any time. The study adhered to ethical guidelines approved by the appropriate institutional ethics committee.

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article. No funding or sponsorship influenced the design of the study, data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

Angmo, P., Mondal, A.K., Phogat, M., Kumar, S. and Rai, A.P. (2022). Effect of phosphorus and zinc interaction on performance of green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek]. *Legume Research-An International Journal*. **45(10):** 1283-1286. doi: 10.18805/LR-4252.

Banoth, M.K., Kumar, H.S., Guguloth, P., Naik, V.M. and Umesha, C. (2022). Influence of boron and zinc on growth and yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal.* **11(3):** 1674-1678.

Chesnin, L. and Yien, C.H. (1950). Turbidimetric determination of available sulfates. *Proceedings of the Soil Science Society of America.* **15(1):** 149-151.

Dhinagaran, M., Indirani, R., Pandian, P.S., Gurusamy, A. and Kannan, P. (2021). Effect of organic fortified zinc on growth and yield of green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L). Wilczek] in typic chromustert. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. **13(4):** 1166.

Gahlot, N., Singh, U., Ram, M., Mehriya, M.L., Borana, H. and Mandiwal, M. (2020). Biochemical assessment and yield of mungbean as influenced by zinc and iron fertilization. *Chem. Sci. Rev. Lett*. **9(36):** 949-955.

Gidaganti, A.,Tarence, T., Smriti, R. and David, A.A. (2019). Effect of different levels of micronutrients on crop growth and yield parameters of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) Cv. IPM 02-03. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. **7(3):** 866-869.

Gram, G. (2020). Effect of zinc sulphate on yield and yield attributes of Green Gram (*Vigna radiata*). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*. **9(2):** 2209-2213.

Hussain, M., Shahid, M.Z., Mehboob, N., Minhas, W.A. and Akram, M. (2021). Zinc application improves growth, yield and grain zinc concentration of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*. **42(2):** 487-500.

Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis, New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd.

Jamal, A., Khan, M.I., Tariq, M. and Fawad, M. (2018). Response of mung bean crop to different levels of applied iron and zinc. *Journal of Horticulture and Plant Research*. **3(13):** 13-22.

Kalasare, R.S., Mahapatro, S., Mahapatra, A. and Yadav, M.K. (2022). Residual effects of integrated nutrient management on summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) Crop. *Indian Journal of Natural Sciences*. **13:** 43196-43201.

Krishna, B.M., Kumar, H.S., Priyanka, G., NAIL, M. and Umesha, C. (2022). Influence of boron and zinc on growth and yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Pharma Innov. J*. **11(3):** 1674.

Kumar, S., Jat, M.K., Sangwan, P.S., Kumar, R., Kumar, S. and Kumar, S. (2023). Interactive effect of potassium and zinc on growth, yield, quality and economics of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) under semi-arid region of south-west Haryana. *Legume Research*. **46(12):** 1653-1657. doi: 10.18805/LR-4926.

Lindsay, W.L. and Norvell, W. (1978). Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. **42(3):** 421-428.

Muindi, C.M., Ndiso, J.B. and Muindi, E.M. (2020). Effect of zinc application method on growth and yield of green grams. *International Journal of Agriculture. Environ. Biores*. **5:** 1-10.

Muindi, E.M., Muindi, C.M. and Ndiso, J. (2020). The effects of combining farm yard manure, starter nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc on growth and yield of green grams. *Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International*. 1-9.

Rao, D., Sachan, C.P., Pashwan, V.R. and Sakpal, A.V. (2021). Effect of organic manure, biofertilizer, phosphorus and nitrogen on growth, seed yield, seed quality attributes of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. **10(11):** 197-204.

Soni, J. and Kushwaha, H.S. (2020). Effect of foliar spray of zinc and iron on productivity of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczeck]. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* **9(1):** 108-111.

Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science.* **25(8):** 259-260.

Tomar, S.S., Singh, N., Kishore, A., Sharma, J.D. and Parashar, A. (2023). Response of green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L) Wilczek] to application of biofertilizers and phosphorus. *Journal of Food Legumes*. **36(4):** 312-315.

Tribhuwan, A.R., Puri, A.N. and Chavan, B.R. (2024). Effect of micronutrient application on growth, yield and quality of green gram growing iron and zinc deficient soils of Renapur Tahsil, Latur. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*. **36(5):** 771-779.

Udhaya, A., Rathika, S., Ramesh, T., Janaki, D. and Jagadeesan, R. (2023). physiological parameters and yield of green gram as influenced by weed management practices. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci*. **35(16):** 100-106.