RESEARCH ARTICLE Indian Journal of Animal Research, Volume 58 Issue 9: 1599-1604 (September 2024)

Impact of Feeding Biofortified Wheat (WB 2) Straw-based Diet on Immunity of Lactating Murrah (*Bubalus bubalis*) Buffaloes

Davender Singh Kalwani[1], Arun Kumar Misra[1], S.B.N. Rao[2]

10.18805/IJAR.B-5244

ABSTRACT

Backround: Buffaloes contributes heavily to the livestock sector of India and buffaloes are preferred for milk production in India due to their efficiency and better nutrients in milk. Major part of their diet constitute roughage which is usually wheat straw in north-western region of India. WB 2 is a biofortified wheat variety with high zinc and iron levels in its grain, has been developed by ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research in Karnal, Haryana. This study aimed at comparing the benefits of substituting conventional straw with WB 2 straw.

Methods: The present experiment was conducted on 12 Murrah buffaloes, divided into two groups *i.e.,* Control (T_0) and Treatment (T_1) based on body weight, parity and previous milk record. Feeding continued for a period of 90 days as per ICAR (2013) feeding standard. The wheat straw, oats fodder and concentrate mixture was fed in the ratio 50:15:35 (on DM basis) in both the groups. However, in control group, conventional wheat straw was used and in the treatment group, biofortified wheat variety straw was utilized.

Result: Non-significant differences (P>0.05) were observed when both the groups were compared for body weight and dry matter intake on fortnightly basis. Similarly, haematology was carried out on monthly basis and there were non- significant differences (P>0.05) between the two groups. Non-significant difference for plasma IgG1 was also recorded. The study reveals that the feeding of biofortified wheat variety (WB 2) straw had no impact on immunity of lactating Murrah buffaloes compared to conventional straw-based diet.

Key words: Biofortification, Murrah buffaloes, Wheat straw, Zinc.

INTRODUCTION

The livestock sector accounts for 6.17% of total GVA (at current prices) and 30.87% of the GVA of agriculture and allied sector of India (DAHD, 2022) and plays significant role in improving the socioeconomic status of small and marginal farmers. In 2019-20, the milk group generated 66.77 per cent of the total value of the livestock sector's output (Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, 2022). India has 109.85 million buffaloes (DAHD, 2019) with overall milk production of 221.06 million tonnes (DAHD, 2022). Buffaloes are preferred over cows in India because they are fed on poor quality feed and forages, have better feed conversion efficiency, higher returns from milk (more than 8% fat) and have better nutrients in milk (higher SNF including sugar, protein, calcium and vitamins) (Balhara *et al.*, 2017).

WB 2, a biofortified wheat variety developed by the ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research in Karnal, Haryana, was released by the Government of India in 2017. It is a pure line wheat cultivar with high zinc and iron levels of 42.0 and 40.0 ppm, respectively (Yadava *et al.*, 2018). It matures in 142 days with a grain yield of 51.6 q/ha on an average and is suitable for cultivation in the North-western Plains Zone of the country (Chatrath *et al.*, 2018). Wheat biofortification resulted in higher zinc levels in wheat straw and increased *in vitro* dry matter digestibility (NIANP, 2019). Zn is very effective in boosting Zn and Fe concentrations in grains and straw when soil and foliar application is done (Paramesh *et al.*, 2020). Zn application results in a greater improvement in grain and straw accumulation (Liu *et al.*, 2019). Increased Zn availability to cattle through Zn enhanced fodder will meet the Zn requirement, which is critical for the livestock's immune system (Capstaff and Miller, 2018). Keeping above facts in view, the present study was conducted to study the effect of feeding biofortified wheat (WB 2) straw-based diet on immunity of lactating Murrah (*Bubalus bubalis*) buffaloes.

[1]Livestock Production and Management Division, ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal-132 001, Haryana, India.
[2]Animal Nutrition Division, ICAR-National Institute of Animal Nutrition and Physiology, Bangalore-560 030, Karnataka, India.

Corresponding Author: Davender Singh Kalwani, Livestock Production and Management Division, ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal-132 001, Haryana, India.
Email: davendersinghkalwani@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Kalwani, D.S., Misra, A.K. and Rao, S.B.N. (2024). Impact of Feeding Biofortified Wheat (WB 2) Straw-based Diet on Immunity of Lactating Murrah (*Bubalus bubalis*) Buffaloes. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 58(6): 1599-1604. doi: 10.18805/IJAR.B-5244

Submitted: 22-09-2023 **Accepted:** 14-12-2023 **Online:** 26-12-2023

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The 12 newly calved Murrah buffaloes were selected from the Livestock Research Center, ICAR-NDRI, Karnal and divided into two groups of six animals each based on body weight, producing ability and lactation stage. The experiment was conducted as per the prescribed guidelines and procedures of the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC), which was established in compliance with Form No. 13 of the government-mandated Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA). All the animals were dewormed before the start of the feeding trial and kept in well-ventilated tie-stalls with separate arrangements for feeding. Animals in both groups were given a total mixed ration in the ratio of 50:15:35 (Wheat Straw: Green Fodder: Concentrate) on DM basis in accordance with the ICAR (2013) specification. Animals in the control group were given conventional wheat straw, whereas those in the treatment group were fed biofortified wheat (WB 2) straw. Throughout the trial, daily logs of feed given and leftovers were recorded. At 10:00 a.m., the necessary quantity of wheat straw, concentrate mixture and chaffed green fodder was measured individually and given as TMR after thorough mixing. Buffaloes had free access to potable drinking water. Blood samples were taken from the jugular vein in sterile heparinized vacutainer containers with minimal disruption to the animal. Blood samples were taken on the 0^{th}, 30^{th}, 60^{th} and 90^{th} days of the trial. Soon after collection, samples were transported to the laboratory in refrigerated iceboxes and an aliquot of blood was collected and quickly analysed for blood parameters such as TLC, TEC, DLC and Hb concentration using Blood Cell Counter Vety. Version MS4Se at HPVK, Karnal. The samples were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 3000 rpm to extract the plasma. Plasma samples were kept at -20°C for further analysis. KRISHGEN BioSystems' "Bovine Immunoglobulin g1, IgG1 GENLISATM ELISA" (Cat. No. KLB0385) was used to measure immunoglobin IgG1 in bovine plasma.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical composition of feed stuffs

The chemical composition of various feed resources offered to experimental animals are presented in Table 1. The results show that the chemical composition of various feeds are within the range of reported values. The concentration of Zn and Fe was within the normal range reported by previous workers (Misra *et al.*, 2012 and NIANP, 2019).

Dry matter intake (on per cent body weight basis) of lactating Murrah buffaloes

The DM intake in the control (T_0) group ranged from 2.66±0.12 to 2.91±0.12 percent and in the treatment (T_1) group, it ranged from 2.73±0.09 to 2.83±0.11 per cent (Table 2). The difference between control (T_0) and treatment (T_1) group was found to be non-significant ($p>0.05$) for dry matter intake (on percent body weight basis).

These results are similar to Giridhar *et al.* (2021) who reported non-significant difference in DMI when they fed zinc biofortified sorghum stover to lambs. Fagari-Nobijari *et al.* (2012) also found non-significant difference in DMI on zinc supplementation in bulls. On Contrary, Kumar and Ram (2021) recorded higher DMI intake in the case of Zn biofortified maize fodder.

Body weight (kg) of lactating Murrah buffaloes

The influence of biofortified wheat straw-based ration on body weight of lactating Murrah buffaloes is presented in Table 3. The mean of overall observations was found to be 565.02±10.71 and 563.65±7.80 kg for control (T_0) and treatment (T_1) group, respectively. The difference between the control (T_0) and treatment (T_1) was found to be non-significant ($p>0.05$) at all fortnights. Contrary to this, Giridhar

Table 1: Chemical composition of feedstuffs used (% on DM basis).

Details	Conventional wheat straw	Biofortified wheat (WB 2) straw	Concentrate mixture	Oats fodder
DM	90.46	89.93	90.91	18
OM	89.74	88.88	91.43	88.36
CP	2.94	3.03	19.44	9.93
EE	1.25	1.66	3.54	2.13
NDF	72.36	73.67	30.14	39.05
ADF	53.41	59.71	17.32	29.82
NFC	13.19	10.52	38.31	37.25
ADL	8.41	7.98	2.15	5.49
TDN	40.99	41.04	75.79	60.67
Ca (%)	0.31	0.48	0.61	0.51
P (%)	0.04	0.06	0.55	0.35
Zn (mg/kg)	11.61	12.61	100.04	21.69
Fe (mg/kg)	164.13	186.06	692.99	515.30
Cu (mg/kg)	03.25	03.36	31.69	08.02
Mn (mg/kg)	33.93	26.04	70.81	57.21

Table 2: Dry matter intake (on % body weight basis) of lactating Murrah buffaloes.

Fortnight	Control (T_0)	Treatment (T_1)	p-value
1	2.91±0.12	2.83±0.11	0.669
2	2.66±0.12	2.73±0.09	0.654
3	2.72±0.10	2.75±0.08	0.869
4	2.76±0.09	2.80±0.08	0.703
5	2.79±0.08	2.83±0.08	0.789
6	2.83±0.08	2.82±0.08	0.930
Overall mean±SE	2.78±0.04	2.79±0.03	

Table 3: Body weight (kg) of lactating Murrah buffaloes.

Fortnight	Control (T_0)	Treatment (T_1)	p-value
0 Day	567.67±31.48	569.17±28.38	0.972
1	547.83±30.77	554.83±23.41	0.860
2	554.83±29.61	556.00±21.26	0.975
3	562.17±29.73	560.17±20.60	0.957
4	570.17±30.01	566.17±20.05	0.914
5	574.83±30.35	568.00±20.17	0.855
6	577.67±30.47	570.83±20.09	0.855
Overall mean±SE	565.02±10.71	563.65±7.80	

Table 4: 6% FCM production (kg/day/animal per unit DM intake) of lactating Murrah buffaloes.

Fortnight	Control (T_0)	Treatment (T_1)	p-value
1	0.54±0.07	0.55±0.07	0.863
2	0.51±0.07	0.50±0.06	0.523
3	0.44±0.07	0.45±0.04	0.256
4	0.46±0.07	0.51±0.06	0.650
5	0.48±0.08	0.51±0.06	0.830
6	0.45±0.08	0.51±0.06	0.487
Overall mean±SE	0.48±0.02	0.51±0.01	

et al. (2021) found significant (p=0.05) difference in growth performance of lambs fed on biofortified sorghum stover. Antari *et al.* (2021) found that supplementing Ongole cattle with Zn methionine prevented live weight loss during early lactation. In the present study, Zn concentrations in control (T_0) and treatment (T_1) group had minor differences and this might be the reason for non-significant differences.

6% FCM production (kg/day/animal per unit DM intake) of lactating murrah buffaloes

Data corresponding to 6% FCM per kg DM intake is presented in Table 4. There was non-significant difference found between the two groups at fortnightly interval. The overall mean varied from 0.44±0.07 to 0.54±0.07 and 0.45±0.04 to 0.55±0.07 kg/day/animal per unit DM intake for the control (T_0) and treatment (T_1) group, respectively.

Studies conducted by Cope *et al.* (2009) and Wang *et al.* (2013) concluded that zinc supplementation enhanced milk production in cattle however, Ianni *et al.* (2020) reported that it had no effect. Singh *et al.* (2021) observed the same in Murrah buffaloes. Similarly, Hosnedlová *et al.* (2007) reported surge in yield when fed with Zn enriched fodder. According to Sobhanirad *et al.* (2010), there was a numerical increase in milk yield in the supplemented group, but the difference in milk yield or fat corrected milk with zinc supplementation was non-significant. Also, supplementation of iron had no impact on yield of milk (Weiss *et al.*, 2010).

Haematological profile of lactating murrah buffaloes

There were non-significant (p>0.05) differences in any of the haematological parameters (Table 5). Non-significant differences in the differential leucocyte counts were also recorded (Table 6). Overall mean values for haemoglobin, packed cell volume, total erythrocyte count and total leucocyte count in the control (T_0) group were 11.19±0.24 (%), 34.42±0.75 (%), 6.66±0.15 (millions/µl) and 10133.75±630.12/ µl, respectively. In the treatment (T_1) group, overall mean values for haemoglobin, packed cell volume, total erythrocyte count and total leucocyte count were 11.19±0.24 (%), 34.42±0.75 (%), 6.66±0.15 (millions/µl) and 10133.75±630.12/ µl, respectively. Differential leucocyte count shows that in the control (T_0) group, overall mean values for neutrophils (%), lymphocytes (%), monocytes (%) and eosinophils (%) are 31.38±0.87, 63.08±0.95, 3.21±0.38 and 2.17±0.18, respectively. Whereas, in the treatment (T_1) group, overall mean values for neutrophils (%), lymphocytes (%),

Table 5: Haematological profile of lactating Murrah buffaloes.

Day	Control (T_0)	Treatment (T_1)	p-value
Hb (g%)			
0th	11.70±0.47	11.67±0.29	0.953
30th	10.98±0.48	10.92±0.33	0.912
60th	11.05±0.52	10.92±0.37	0.840
90th	11.02±0.49	10.98±0.35	0.957
Overall mean±SE	11.19±0.24	11.12±0.17	
PCV (%)			
0th	34.00±1.15	34.17±0.83	0.909
30th	34.33±1.87	33.67±0.99	0.759
60th	34.50±1.75	33.50±1.20	0.647
90th	34.83±1.51	33.83±1.08	0.602
Overall mean±SE	34.42±0.75	33.79±0.49	
TEC (millions/µL)			
0th	6.77±0.32	6.62±0.30	0.744
30th	6.53±0.31	6.20±0.31	0.479
60th	6.70±0.32	6.38±0.35	0.512
90th	6.67±0.34	6.46±0.32	0.675
Overall mean±SE	6.66±0.15	6.42±0.15	
TLC (thousands/µL)			
0th	10115.00±1128.15	10163.33±750.58	0.972
30th	10106.67±1600.81	10540.00±891.53	0.818
60th	10168.33±1757.49	10680.00±1059.35	0.808
90th	10145.00±617.54	10275.00±817.19	0.902
Overall mean±SE	10133.75±630.12	10414.58±415.85	

Table 6: Differential leucocyte counts of lactating Murrah buffaloes.

Day	Control (T_0)	Treatment (T_1)	p-value
Neutrophils (%)			
0th	34.17±1.08	32.50±1.34	0.354
30th	32.17±2.21	29.67±1.56	0.378
60th	30.17±2.12	27.83±1.96	0.437
90th	29.00±0.58	31.83±2.77	0.341
Overall mean±SE	31.38±0.87	30.46±1.00	
Lymphocytes (%)			
0th	60.33±0.71	62.83±1.08	0.082
30th	62.33±2.54	64.83±2.29	0.481
60th	63.83±2.43	66.33±2.79	0.514
90th	65.83±0.60	61.17±3.30	0.194
Overall mean±SE	63.08±0.95	63.79±1.24	
Monocytes (%)			
0th	2.83±0.70	2.33±0.61	0.604
30th	3.33±0.71	3.17±0.87	0.885
60th	3.83±1.01	4.00±1.06	0.912
90th	2.83±0.65	2.83±0.79	1.000
Overall mean±SE	3.21±0.38	3.08±0.42	
Eosinophils (%)			
0th	2.50±0.43	2.00±0.52	0.473
30th	2.00±0.26	2.17±0.48	0.765
60th	2.00±0.26	1.83±0.54	0.787
90th	2.17±0.48	2.00±0.52	0.817
Overall mean±SE	2.17±0.18	2.00±0.24	

monocytes (%) and eosinophils (%) are 30.46±1.00, 63.79±1.24, 3.08±0.42 and 2.00±0.24, respectively. All the values were found within the normal range.

According to Weiss (2010), supplemental Fe does not affect haematocrit, haemoglobin, serum Fe, Fe binding capacity saturation and percentage saturation. Cope *et al.* (2009) reported non-significant effect of zinc supplementation on haematology of dairy cows. Similarly, Ramulu *et al.* (2015) reported no change in haematological profile of buffalo calves when supplemented with zinc. However, Sobhanirad and Naserian (2012) found increase in TEC, Hb and PCV and no difference in TLC and leukocyte profile. There are no studies available for Zn and Fe biofortified feed being given to dairy animals for comparison.

Plasma IgG1 concentration (mg/mL) of lactating murrah buffaloes

There was non-significant (p>0.05) difference between the two groups in the current study (Table 7). Overall mean concentration for the control (T_0) and treatment group (T_1) was 19.04±0.12 and 19.05±0.09 mg/mL, respectively. According to Fagari-Nobijari *et al.* (2012), plasma protein concentrations increased along with plasma zinc concentration when supplementation of zinc was done in Holstein bulls. Similarily, Chandra *et al.* (2014) reported higher plasma zinc concentration, plasma total immunoglobins and IgG in peripartum Sahiwal cows when supplemented with zinc. However, in the present study, there were no differences and

Table 7: Plasma IgG1 concentration (mg/mL) of lactating Murrah buffaloes.

Day	Control (T_0)	Treatment (T_1)	p-value
0th	19.13±0.34	19.20±0.32	0.885
30th	18.98±0.16	19.02±0.16	0.862
60th	19.01±0.24	18.97±0.10	0.872
90th	19.03±0.20	19.00±0.13	0.914
Overall Mean±SE	19.04±0.12	19.05±0.09	

this might be because of similar concentrations of zinc in the plasma of control (T_0) and treatment (T_1) group.

CONCLUSION

The results of present study infer that biofortified wheat variety (WB 2) straw-based ration is similar to conventional wheat straw-based ration. Feeding of such biofortified straw does not have any impact on immunity of lactating Murrah buffaloes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors duly acknowledge the support provided by the Director ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute for carrying out the above work. Authors would also like to thank ICAR-NIANP for the funding of the project.

Authorship contribution statement

DSK carried out the experiment and prepared the manuscript draft. AKM and SBNR designed the experiment and edited the draft.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Antari, R., Anggraeny, Y.N., Sukmasari, P.K., Pamungkas, D. and Wina, E. (2021). Zinc-methionine supplementation prevents the live weight loss in the early lactation of Ongole-Crossbred cows in the reproduction cycle. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. IOP Publishing. 788(1): 012039.

Balhara, A., Nayan, V., Dey, A., Singh, K., Dahiya, S. and Singh, I. (2017). Climate change and buffalo farming in major milk producing states of India-Present status and need for addressing concerns. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 87(4): 403-411.

Capstaff, N. M. and Miller, A.J. (2018). Improving the yield and nutritional quality of forage crops. Frontiers in Plant Science. 9: 535. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00535.

Chandra, G., Aggarwal, A., Kumar, M., Singh, A.K., Sharma, V.K. and Upadhyay, R.C. (2014). Effect of additional vitamin E and zinc supplementation on immunological changesin peripartum Sahiwal cows. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 98(6): 1166-1175.

Chatrath, R., Tiwari, V.S., Gupta, V., Kumar, S., Singh, S.K., Mishra, C.N., Venkatesh, K. *et al.* (2018). WB 2: A high yielding bread wheat variety for irrigated timely sown conditions of North Western Plains Zone of India. Wheat Barley Research. 101: 40-44.

Cope, C.M., Mackenzie, A.M., Wilde, D. and Sinclair, L.A. (2009). Effects of level and form of dietary zinc on dairy cow performance and health. Journal of Dairy Science. 92(5): 2128-2135.

DAHD (Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying). (2019). 20th Livestock Census. Government of India.

DAHD (Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying). (2022). Annual Report 2021-22. Government of India.

Fagari-Nobijari, H., Amanlou, H. and Dehghan-Banadaky, M. (2012). Effects of zinc supplementation on growth performance, blood metabolites and lameness in young Holstein bulls. Journal of Applied Animal Research. 40(3): 222-228.

Giridhar, K., Gowda, N.K.S., Pal, D.T., Krishnamoorthy, Joseph, R.F., Dey, D.K. and Shukla, A.K. (2021). Feeding zinc biofortified sorghum stover decreases zinc deficiency in sheep. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 91(4): 299-304.

Hosnedlová, B., Trávníèek, J. and Šoch, M. (2007). Current view of the significance of zinc for ruminants: A review. Agricultura Tropica et Subtropica. 40(2): 57-64.

Ianni, A., Martino, C., Innosa, D., Bennato, F., Grotta, L. and Martino, G. (2020). Zinc supplementation of lactating dairy cows: Effects on chemical-nutritional quality and volatile profile of Caciocavallo cheese. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences. 33(5): 825-835.

ICAR. (2013). Nutrient Requirements of Cattle and Buffalo. Nutrient requirements of animals, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.

Kumar, B. and Ram, H. (2021). Biofortification of maize fodder with zinc improves forage productivity and nutritive value for livestock. Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences. 30(2): 149-158.

Liu, D.Y., Liu, Y.M., Zhang, W., Chen, X.P. and Zou, C.Q. (2019). Zinc uptake, translocation and remobilization in winter wheat as affected by soil application of Zn fertilizer. Frontiers in Plant Science. 10: 426. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00426.

Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, (2022). National Accounts Statistics. Government of India.

Misra, A.K., Sirohi, A.S. and Mathur, B.K. (2012). Strategies for managing livestock under environmental stress in drylands of India. Annals of Arid Zone. 51(3 and 4): 219-243.

NIANP, (2019). Bio-fortification of cereals- Evaluation of VAC and cereal by-products for animal feeding. ICAR-NIANP Annual Report. pp. 37-38.

Paramesh, V., Dhar, S., Dass, A., Kumar, B., Kumar, A., El-Ansary, D.O. and Elansary, H.O. (2020). Role of integrated nutrient management and agronomic fortification of zinc on yield, nutrient uptake and quality of wheat. Sustainability. 12(9): 3513.

Ramulu, S.P., Nagalakshmi, D. and Kumar, M.K. (2015). Effect of zinc supplementation on haematology and serum biochemical constituents in Murrah buffalo calves. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 49(4): 482-486. doi: 10.5958/0976-0555.2015.00095.3.

Singh, H.P., Jain, R.K., Tiwari, D., Mehta, M.K. and Mudgal, V. (2021). Strategic supplementation of antioxidant micronutrients in peri-parturient Murrah buffaloes helps augment the udder health and milk production. Biological Trace Element Research. 199(6): 2182-2190.

Sobhanirad, S. and Naserian, A.A. (2012). Effects of high dietary zinc concentration and zinc sources on hematology and biochemistry of blood serum in Holstein dairy cows. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 177(3-4): 242-246.

Sobhanirad, S., Carlson, D. and BahariKashani, R. (2010). Effect of zinc methionine or zinc sulfate supplementation on milk production and composition of milk in lactating dairy cows. Biological Trace Element Research. 136(1): 48-54.

Wang, R.L., Liang, J.G., Lu, L., Zhang, L.Y., Li, S.F. and Luo, X.G. (2013). Effect of zinc source on performance, zinc status, immune response and rumen fermentation of lactating cows. Biological Trace Element Research. 152(1): 16-24.

Weiss, W.P., Pinos-Rodriguez, J.M. and Socha, M.T. (2010). Effects of feeding supplemental organic iron to late gestation and early lactation dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science. 93(5): 2153-2160.

Yadava, D.K., Hossain, F. and Mohapatra, T. (2018). Nutritional security through crop biofortification in India: Status and future prospects. The Indian Journal of Medical Research. 148(5): 621-631.